# AWARENESS OF FLASH FLOODING AMONG CLARK COUNTY RESIDENTS - YEAR 2000 -

Prepared For:

**REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT** 



November, 2000

# **CONTENTS**

## Page

INTRODUCTION	
A. Background and Objectives	1
B. Methods and Procedures	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
DETAILED FINDINGS	
A. Awareness of Flooding	12
B. Awareness Comparison to Previous Year	13
C. Sources of Information	14
D. Experience with Flooding	16
E. Should County Be Reimbursed for Rescue	21
F. Characteristics of the Sample	22
G. Comparison by Unaided Awareness	24
	INTRODUCTION         A. Background and Objectives         B. Methods and Procedures         EXECUTIVE SUMMARY         CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS         DETAILED FINDINGS         A. Awareness of Flooding         B. Awareness Comparison to Previous Year         C. Sources of Information         D. Experience with Flooding         E. Should County Be Reimbursed for Rescue         F. Characteristics of the Sample         G. Comparison by Unaided Awareness

## **V. SUPPORTING TABLES**

## VI. APPENDIX

- A. Zip Code Boundary MapB. The Questionnaire

## I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Background and Objectives

In October of 1999, THE SOURCE conducted an awareness survey among Clark County residents for the REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT. Awareness levels of the dangers of flooding were high. It was thought this was partially due to the July "100 Year Flood," which was still fresh in many residents' minds.

The purpose of this current study was to replicate the October 1999 survey, and to also explore new, related areas which could provide additional direction to the advertising effort.

The specific objectives of this study were to determine, among Clark County residents . . .

- -> unaided and aided awareness of the dangers of flash flooding in Clark County, and how they compare to the previous year.
- -> from which sources they obtained information about flash flooding.
- -> their experience and behavior with flooding.
- -> their opinion about whether violators of flood barriers should have to reimburse the County if they need to be rescued.

## B. Methods and Procedures

To be able to statistically compare the 1999 and 2000 survey results in a valid and reliable manner, the methods and procedures used in this current study were identical to those used in the 1999 study.

Thus, a telephone survey was conducted with 500 Clark County residents who are 18 years or older between Tuesday, October 3, 2000 and Saturday, October 21, 2000.

One of the largest and most respected suppliers of scientific samples was employed to provide a representative sample of all (both listed and unlisted) working residential telephone numbers in Clark County.

During the call attempts, when a no answer, busy signal or answering machine was reached, at least four call back were made on different days and at different times of the day before the number was replaced with a number from a replicate sample.

Based on the final sample distribution proportions across the county and comparing them to Clark County Comprehensive Planning Division population estimates, we believe this sample accurately represents telephone households and is projectable to all of Clark County.

The maximum margin of error for the 500 sample is plus or minus 4.4% at the 95% level of confidence. Where appropriate, statistically significant differences are indicated on the tables.

Each interview took 5 to 6 minutes to complete.

The flow of the interview and the exact wording of the questions can be discerned by examining the questionnaire in the Appendix.

For analytical purposes, the Las Vegas valley was divided into quadrants. A map showing the quadrant zip code boundaries and the number of interviews conducted in each zip code can be found in the Appendix. The proportion of interviews conducted in each quadrant closely match the population estimates from the Comprehensive Planning Division.

## **II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is the second year of measuring flash flooding awareness for the CLARK COUNTY REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT. For comparative purposes, this current project replicates the 1999 study, and adds some new areas of investigation as well.

Five hundred respondents, composing a representative sample of Clark County adult residents, were interviewed by telephone during October, 2000. Fifty-four percent are women and 46% are men. Their median age is 46.9 years, they've lived in Clark County an average of 9.4 years, and their households average 2.4 members.

When asked without any prompting if they could name the types of natural disasters that can be a danger to Clark County residents, 79% said "Flash Flooding/Flooding," significantly higher than all other mentions, which included earthquakes (38.2%), wind/dust/sand storms (8.2%), fires (7.6%), tornados (5%), nuclear waste/Yucca Mountain (4.2%), and several other natural and non-natural dangers. By sub-sample, "Flash Flooding/Flooding" was named more frequently by men and by residents living in Clark County for six years or longer. There were no significant differences in flooding mentions by age, household size, household composition, type of vehicle driven, or Clark County area of residence.

Those who did not spontaneously say flooding were asked if they were aware of the dangers of flash flooding in Clark County. In this aided or prompted situation, 17% said they were, thus providing a total awareness of 96% (unaided 79% + aided 17%).

The pie chart on the next page visually illustrates the awareness proportions.



## Awareness of Flash Floods - 2000

Comparing the 2000 results to the 1999 results, unaided and total awareness is down slightly for 2000; however the differences are well within the margin of error, meaning that the differences could be due to sampling variations. Unaided awareness is down 2.6% from 81.6% to 79% and total awareness is down 1.4% from 97.4% to 96%. The following chart illustrates the differences.



# **Comparison Of Awareness By Year**

Although the decrease in unaided and total awareness from 1999 to 2000 is not statistically significant, there are statistically significant changes in some sub-samples. Looking at unaided awareness (the truer measure in our opinion), it increased significantly for men, and decreased significantly for women, those living in Clark County less than 6 years, those under 50 years old, those in households of three or more people, and in the Northeast quadrant of the valley. The decreases could be due in part to new residents to Clark County within the past year.

In 1999, one of the findings was that a significantly greater proportion of 65 and older residents were not as aware of flash flooding as other age groups. Since then a portion of the advertising budget has been directed toward older residents. The evidence is that this advertising effort has had an effect on this market segment as the 2000 study shows that awareness for this group now is in line with all other age groups; that is, there are no significant differences in unaided awareness by age categories.

Correlated with age is household size. Generally, older households tend to be one or two person households. In 1999, there was a lower unaided awareness in one and two person households compared to larger households. The 2000 study indicates that there is now no significant difference by household size, thus supporting the above finding that the advertising has been effective in reaching older, smaller households. However, the current study also looked at unaided awareness by household composition, something not done in 1999. The current study indicates that single person households are less aware of flooding while two or more adult household with no children are more aware of flooding.

In 1999, by far the most frequently mentioned way residents learned about the dangers of flash flooding in Clark County was "by living here/seeing it happen/ through personal experience" (67.4%). This is still by far the primary response; however the proportion in 2000 dropped to 51.3%. Most likely the July 1999 "super flood" accounts for the higher 1999 proportion. Of course there are many other ways residents learned about the dangers of flooding. Respondents were asked

whether they had heard or read about flash flooding dangers from a list of nine specified sources. Television was chosen significantly more frequently than the other sources. Following is the complete list of sources in rank order.

97.7% Television
73.1% Newspaper
67.3% Radio
51.0% Billboard
49.2% Friends or Relatives
18.5% Brochure
13.5% Bus Stop Shelter Ad
12.9% Children
9.8% Magazine

The vast majority (92.8%) of these residents drive a vehicle. Of those who do drive, 63.6% usually drive a regular passenger car, 35.1% usually drive an SUV, van or truck, and 1.3% said they drive both.

All drivers were asked if they had ever encountered a flooded street or road while driving. Seven of ten (69.6%) said that they had. A significantly higher proportion of those who have lived here six or more years said they had and a significantly higher proportion of SUV/van/truck drivers said they had. Over half (54.5%) of those who had encountered a flooded street said this had happened four or more times.

Those who had encountered a flooded street while driving were asked to describe the experience the first time they came to a flooded street. The reader can find the detailed descriptions, including verbatim comments, in the body of the report and in the tables. There are some mild experiences described where the person drove through and didn't have a problem and there are experiences described which were dangerous, where the person was stuck in the flood water and had to be rescued. The pie chart on the following page summarizes their behavior.

# **First Time Encountered Flooded Street**



The only significant difference in sub-samples is that those who drive an SUV/van/ truck (48.3%) are more likely to have driven into or through a flooded street than those who drive a car (36.7%).



**Drove Thru Flooded Street - 1st Time** 

Those who had multiple experiences with flooding were asked to describe what happened on those occasions. Again the reader is encouraged to look at the descriptions of these experiences in the body of the report and in the tables.

The following table summarizes respondent behavior each time they encountered a flooded street.

#### SUMMARY OF BEHAVIOR EACH TIME ENCOUNTERED A FLOODED STREET

	Went back/waited all times	45.6%
	Sometimes drove thru sometimes went back	18.4%
	Drove into/thru all times	16.5%
	Drove into first time/ back other times	14.2%
	Went back first time/ into other times	5.4%
TOTAL	SAMPLE	100.0%

There are no significant differences in the above patterns for any of the demographic sub-samples. However, there is an important significant difference by type of vehicle. SUV, van, truck drivers are almost twice as likely (21.1%) to drive into or through a flooded street each and every time they come to one than are car drivers (12.2%). The chart on the following page illustrates this.



All 500 respondents were asked what they think motivates people to attempt to drive through flooded areas. A third (33.4%) just said they were "stupid, morons, ignorant, etc." Other mentions, in rank order, were "in a hurry" (19.6%), "think they can make it/they can do anything" (15.8%), "to get where they're going/to get home/to get to work" (15.4%), "not aware of the danger" (7.8%), "don't know/don't realize how deep it is" (5.4%), "doesn't look/don't think it's deep" (4.4%), "impatience" (4.0%), and several other mentions.

Finally, respondents were asked "If a person drives around a posted County flood barricade and then needs to be rescued, should that person have to reimburse the County for the costs of the rescue?" A sizeable majority (87.6%) said that they should. There were no significant differences by any sub-samples.

The 61 people who felt that the County should not be reimbursed were asked why they felt that way. The most frequent response was "that's what taxes are for" (27.9%), followed by "people make mistakes/it's just an accident" (19.7%), "it depends on the situation/circumstances" (9.8%), "because they don't know the dangers" (6.6%), "rescue should be an aid" (4.9%), "County is responsible for the streets not flooding" (4.9%), "rescuers are already paid" (4.9%), "it's not their fault that it floods" (4.9%), "no need to punish them" (4.9%), and several other reasons, all less than 4%.

## **III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

As discovered last year, there is high awareness of the dangers of flash flooding throughout Clark County. The unaided, aided and total awareness measured this year are at the same levels as last year. Even with high awareness, as recommended last year, it is advisable to continue running an advertising campaign to remind long-term residents and educate new residents about the dangers of flash flooding.

Awareness of flash flooding by newer residents is at a lower level than for those who have lived here for a while. If it is not already being done, new residents (especially drivers) can be educated about flash flooding dangers at the DMV when they apply for their Nevada license. Given the time spent there, perhaps they could be shown a film. Also, there could be display posters or banners and they could be given a brochure.

To attempt to get through to the people who drive into flooded streets - those referred to as "stupid" by a sizeable portion of respondents - we believe it is necessary for advertising to be direct and hard hitting, demonstrating the consequences of getting caught in a flooded street. Subtle advertising won't work here.

Also, SUV, van and truck drivers seem to think they are invincible in their vehicles. It is important to reach this segment of the population. Demonstrations and illustrations of vehicles floating should use an SUV to communicate to their drivers that it can happen to them also. An added advantage is that using an SUV will communicate to regular car drivers that if an SUV can't make it, what chance do they have.

Although there appears to be a mandate to charge people who violate flood barriers and who need to be rescued, the purpose of the fee is to deter people from this behavior, not to increase revenues. Additional research would seem necessary to determine if the knowledge of a fine will discourage driving into floods.

## **IV. DETAILED FINDINGS**

Five hundred respondents, constituting a representative sample of Clark County adult residents, were interviewed by telephone during October, 2000.

In addition to reporting information by total respondents, data was cross-tabulated by years lived in Clark County, gender, age, number of people in the household, whether there are children in the household, type of vehicle driven, quadrant of the valley lived in, and by whether or not they said "flash flooding/flooding" when asked to name the types of natural disasters than can be a danger to residents.

## A. Awareness of Flooding

After first verifying their Zip Code and asking how long they have lived in Clark County, respondents were asked if they could name the types of natural disasters that can be a danger to residents of Clark County. In this unaided situation, 79% of all residents said "Flash Flooding" or "Flooding," significantly higher than all other mentions. The second most frequent mention was earthquakes (38.2%), followed by wind/dust/sand storms (8.2%), fires (7.6%), tornados (5%), and several other natural and non-natural dangers - such as Nuclear Waste/Yucca Mountain (4.2%). Twelve percent of respondents could not name any natural disasters that could be a danger to Clark County residents.

By sub-sample, "Flash Flooding/Flooding" was significantly more likely to be mentioned by men (84.7%) than by women (74.2%); and by those living in Clark County for six or more years (82.6%) than those living in Clark County five years or less (72.1%). There were no statistically significant differences in mentions of "Flash Flooding/Flooding" by any of the other sub-sample categories.

(See Tables 1a & 1b)

The 105 residents who did not spontaneously mention "Flash Flooding/Flooding" were then asked if they were aware of the dangers of flash flooding here in Clark County. In this aided situation, 81% of these residents (17% of all respondents) said they were aware of the dangers of flooding.

The only significant sub-sample differences in aided awareness are that residents who've lived here six or more years (89.5%) are more aware of flooding dangers than residents who've lived here five years or less (70.8%) and that one or two person households are more aware of flooding dangers than larger households (71.4%).

(See Tables 2a & 2b)

Total awareness was derived by combining the previous unaided and aided responses. Across the total sample, 96% of these residents are aware of the dangers of flash flooding. Just 4% (20 of the 500 respondents) are not aware of this danger. By subsample, residents who've lived here 6 years or more (98.2%) are significantly more aware than residents who've lived here five years or less (91.9%). There are no other statistically significant sub-sample differences.

(See Tables 3a & 3b)

## B. Awareness Comparisons to Previous Year

Across the total samples of 500 residents each for 1999 and 2000, there is not a statistical difference in unaided, aided or total awareness. Unaided and total awareness are down slightly for 2000 but they are well within the margin of error, meaning that the differences could be due to chance. Unaided awareness was 81.6% in 1999 and down just 2.6% to 79.0% in 2000. Total awareness was 97.4% in 1999 and down just 1.4% to 96.0% in 2000. These minor differences are due to sampling variations.

However, there are significant differences in several sub-samples. Unaided awareness has increased for men and decreased for women. Total awareness has decreased for women. Unaided and total awareness has decreased for those living in Clark County five years or less. Unaided and total awareness has decreased for those under 50 years old and for those in households of three or more people. Unaided and aided awareness has decreased among those residents living in the North East quadrant of the valley.

(See Tables 4a & 4b)

## C. Sources of Information

The residents who were aware of the dangers of flooding were next asked questions about how they obtained information about flooding. The 20 residents who were not aware of flooding dangers were skipped ahead to the next series of questions.

The 480 residents who were aware of flooding dangers were asked, without any clues, how they learned about the dangers of flash flooding in Clark County. Over half (51.3%) of these residents in this unaided situation said they learned about it "by living here/seeing it happen/through personal experience." This response was significantly far above all other answers. The second most frequent mention was "TV News" (27.9%) followed by "TV" (15%), giving television a total of 42.9%. Continuing on, the fourth most frequent mention is Newspaper (9.4%), then Radio (7.1%), Family/Parents/Friends/Co-workers (7.1%), News-unspecified (5.2%), Billboard (2.9%), and several other mentions, all less than 2%. The reader may wish to inspect the sub-sample frequencies for any useful patterns.

(See Tables 5a & 5b)

Respondents were then read a list of nine possible sources and asked to indicate whether they heard or read about flash flooding dangers from each source. (This list was edited somewhat from the 1999 questionnaire based on responses received.) In this aided situation, Television (97.7%) was cited significantly more than all other sources. Next, Newspaper (73.1%) was chosen significantly more than the remaining sources. Radio (67.3%) is in third place, significantly higher than the remaining sources. Billboard (51%) is significantly higher than all others except Friends/Relatives (49.2%), which is significantly higher than the remaining four sources. There is a sizeable drop at this point. Brochure (18.5%) is significantly higher than the remaining three and Bus Stop Shelter Ad (13.5%) is not significantly higher than Children (12.9%) but is significantly higher that Magazine (9.8%).

By sub-sample, compared to women, men were significantly more likely to cite Billboard and Bus Stop Shelter Ad while women were more likely to cite Friends/ Relatives. Compared to those who've lived in Clark County 5 years or less, those who've lived in Clark County 6 or more years were significantly more likely to say Newspaper, Billboard, Brochure, Bus Stop Shelter Ad and Magazine, while the shorter term residents were more likely to say Friends/Relatives. Those 50 and older were more likely to say Television and Newspaper, while those under 50 years were more likely to say Radio, Billboard, Friends/Relatives and Bus Stop Shelter Ad. Compared to one or two person households, those with three or more in the home were significantly more likely to say Billboard, Friends/Relatives and Children told them about it.

(See Table 6a)

Continuing with sub-sample differences in information sources, households with children are significantly more likely to say Billboard and Children than adult only households. SUV/van/truck drivers are more likely to say Billboard than passenger car drivers. Residents in the Northwest valley are significantly more likely to cite Newspaper than residents in the Northeast. Residents in the Northeast and Northwest are significantly more likely to say Radio than residents in the Southwest. Northwest residents are more likely to say Billboard than residents in other areas of the valley. Southeast residents are more likely to say Friends/Relatives than Northwest residents. Northwest residents are more likely to say Brochure than Southwest residents. And Northeast residents are more likely to say Children than Southwest residents.

(See Table 6b)

#### D. Experience with Flooding

Although there are other ways to experience flooding, this area of questioning concentrated on flooding encounters while driving. When asked if they drive a vehicle, 92.8% said that they did. Those who didn't where skipped on to the next area of questioning. A significantly greater proportion of 5 year or less residents (95.3%) drive a vehicle than longer term residents (91.4%). A significantly greater proportion of Northwest (94.9%), Southeast (94%) and Southwest (93%) residents drive a vehicle than Northeast residents (86%).

(See Tables 7a & 7b)

Of those who do drive a vehicle, almost two-thirds (63.6%) usually drive a regular passenger car, a third (35.1%) usually drive an SUV, van or truck and a few (1.3%) drive both. Women (71%) are significantly more likely than men (55.1%) to drive a car; and conversely, men (43.5%) are significantly more likely than women (27.8%) to drive an SUV, van or truck. Older residents (73%) are more likely to drive a car than younger -under 50 - residents (55.9%); and conversely, younger residents (42.9%) are more likely to drive an SUV, van or truck than older residents (25.5%). Smaller (1 or 2 person) households (71.8%) are more likely to drive a car than larger households (54%); and conversely, larger households (43.7%) are more likely to drive an SUV, van or truck than smaller households (69.7%) are more likely to drive a car than households with children (52.9%); and conversely, households with children (44.7%) are more likely to drive an SUV, van or truck than adult only households (29.7%).

(See Tables 8a & 8b)

The 464 residents who are aware of flooding dangers and who drive a vehicle were asked if they had ever encountered a flooded street or road while driving. Seventy percent (69.6%) of them said that they had encountered a flooded street sometime while driving in Clark County. (This is 64.6% of the total 500 resident sample.) By sub-sample, those who've lived here 6 or more years (79.6%) are significantly more likely to have encountered a flooded street than shorter term residents (51.2%). And SUV/van/truck drivers (74.2%) are significantly more likely to have encountered a flooded street than shorter term residents (51.2%).

(See Tables 9a & 9b)

When asked how many times they have encountered a flooded street, over half (54.5%) said four or more times. Men, those who've lived here 6 years or more, those with three or more household members, Northwest residents, those with children in the household, and SUV/van/truck drivers are more likely to have encountered a flood four or more times than their sub-sample counterparts.

(See Tables 10a & 10b)

Respondents were asked to describe what they did the first time they came to a flooded street. To summarize their behavior, 41.1% said they drove into or through the flooded street and 57.9% said they didn't drive into the flooded area. Of all the various sub-samples, the only statistically significant difference is that SUV/van/ truck drivers (48.3%) are more likely to drive into or through the flooded street than car drivers (36.7%).

(See Tables 11a & 11b)

Looking at the details of what happened that first time they encountered a flooded street, 52.3% said they just "turned back/went around it/ went a different way" and an additional 4.6% said they "waited until the water went down." The remaining respondents drove into or through the flooded street giving explanations ranging from "it was not that deep/that bad" or "drove through it slowly/carefully" to "gunned it/plowed through" or "flooded it and sunk car." The reader may wish to inspect the tables to see the various explanations given.

(See Tables 12a & 12b)

Following are a few actual verbatim comments from some of the people who took chances the first time they encountered a flooded street.

"I drove through it and I made it. The water was up to my window but I had to get my God son from school and I was determined to do so." Woman, 40-49, Northeast

"Hit two potholes and blew two tires while trying to drive through." Man, 65+, Southeast "We were coming out of Caesars. Got to the car, when a flood of water came out of nowhere. It covered half the car. My girls and I got out and literally swam back to Caesars Palace to safety. I lost my car but was grateful for our lives. Now I never will go out if I hear of flash flooding or heavy rains." Woman, 60-64, Northwest

"I drove through it. When you drive a truck it isn't much of a problem." Man, 40-49, Northeast

"I was caught in the flood seven or eight years ago. Fireman came to help us. Were flooded out."

Woman, 65+, Northeast

"The first time I just plowed right through it at 120 (sic) miles an hour. I got in the middle of the road and went right through it. It slowed me down and I haven't done that since." Man, 50-59, Northeast

"I had to drive through it, carefully. I was on my way to the doctor's office and it was a short distance from where the flooded street began to the office so I carefully drove through it." Woman, 50-59, Northeast

Among the people who encountered flooded streets more than once, some change their behavior after their first experience and some do not. The tables detail the experiences of those motorists during their additional flooded street trips.

(See Tables 13a & 13b)

Following are a few verbatim comments regarding what happened during additional trips.

"The first time I turned back. Next time got stuck. Rolled my windows down, took seat belt off. It rocked and rolled. I was about ready to bail and a semi truck pushed me out. Don't cross any flooded areas now." Man, 40-49, Southeast

"The second time a police officer told me to go through. I did and I got stuck. People were evacuated."

Woman, 40-49, Southeast

"The first time my husband was with me and he kept yelling 'Go through it.' I was scared, but he reinforced me telling me the water was not too deep. The second time my husband was with me, encouraging me to drive through it, so I did. If I was alone, I would not have!" Woman, 30-39, Southwest

"I've driven through. Caught in traffic and couldn't get out." Man, 65+, Southwest

"The second time I drove through it. I now have an SUV. I felt secure to drive through it." Woman, 40-49, Southwest

"First time drove through it. Knew the street well and the water level and could measure it would be safe to go through. Other times if I did not know the street I would find another way to go where I was going." Man, 30-39, Southeast

"The second time went through it - flooded out. We were rescued by some kind man that pushed us out of it. We stay home when it rains." Woman, 30-39, Southwest To summarize resident's behavior across all flooded street encounters, we categorized each respondent into one of five categories. The largest category (45.6% of all who have encountered flooding) is also the safest: Drivers who avoided flooded streets by going back, going a different way or waited for the water to go down every time they have come to a flooded street.

The second largest category (18.4%) are those who sometimes drove through and sometimes went back, depending on the specific circumstances.

The third largest category (16.5%) are those whose attitudes and behavior need to be changed: Drivers who drove into or through every time they have come to a flooded street.

The fourth category (14.2%) are those who have learned a lesson from their personal experience: Drivers who drove into or through a flooded street the first time but who went back on subsequent encounters.

The fifth category (5.4%) are a small but curious group who went back the first time but drove into or through the flooded street on subsequent encounters.

Looking for clues on the type of people to target with advertising and promotion, the only significant and meaningful sub-sample indication is that, compared to regular car drivers (12.2%), SUV/van/truck drivers (21.1%) are almost twice as likely to drive into or through a flooded street every time time they come to one. There were no significant differences by gender, years lived in Clark County, age, household size or household composition. By geographic location, they only significant difference is that Northeast residents are less likely than others to be circumstance drivers; i.e., those who sometimes drive through and sometimes go back.

(See Tables 14a & 14b)

After those who've encountered flooded streets described their experiences, all 500 respondents were asked what they think motivates people to drive through flooded areas. By far the most frequent response (33.4%) is one that does not have much

value for strategy. This third of all respondents said that these drivers are "Stupid/ Morons/Ignorant/Etc." As one respondent said, "You can't fix stupid!" In our tabulating of responses, we noted that some of those who themselves drove into a flooded situation said that the other people were stupid.

The next most frequent response was "they're in a hurry" (19.6%). This was followed by "they think they can make it/think they can do anything/think they're Superman" (15.8%), "to get where they're going/to get home/to get to work" (15.4%), "not aware of the danger" (7.8%), "don't know or realize how deep it is" (5.4%), "doesn't look/don't think it's deep" (4.4%), "impatience" which we see as different than 'in a hurry' (4%), "no experience with floods and danger" (3.2%), "don't want to take the time to go back" (3%) and several other mentions, all less than 2%. The reader may want to inspect the tables to observe the many other reasons given and the sub-sample differences.

(See Tables 15a & 15b)

## E. Should County Be Reimbursed For Rescue

All respondents were read the question "If a person drives around a posted County flood barricade and then needs to be rescued, do you think that person should have to reimburse the County for the costs of the rescue?"

Almost nine in ten (87.6%) of all respondents said that the County should be reimbursed. There are no significant differences between any of the sub-samples.

(See Tables 16a & 16b)

The 61 people who felt that the County should not be reimbursed were asked why they shouldn't be reimbursed. A fourth (27.9%) of them said "that's what taxes are for." The second most frequent reason given was "people make mistakes/it was just an accident" (19.7%). This was followed by "it depends on the individual situation/

circumstances got them into it" (9.8%), "because they don't know the dangers" (6.6%), "rescue should be an aid" (4.9%), "county's responsible to insure that streets don't get flooded" (4.9%), "rescuers are already paid" (4.9%), "it's not their fault that it floods" (4.9%), "no need to punish them" (4.9%), and several other mentions. The reader may want to inspect the tables to observe the other reasons given and the sub-sample differences.

(See Tables 17a & 17b)

## F. Characteristics of the Sample

In our sampling procedure we asked to speak to either the male or female head of the household. If neither was available, the interview was conducted with a permanent resident of the household who is 18 years or older.

There was a good sampling by gender, with 45.8% of the total sample being men and 54.2% being women. The only significant differences by gender are a greater proportion of those who live in the Southeast are men compared to the Northeast and Northwest and, conversely, a greater proportion in the Northeast and Northwest are women. Also, a greater proportion of SUV/van/truck drivers are men and a greater proportion of car drivers are women.

(See Tables 18a & 18b)

For the most part, one of the heads of household were interviewed (83.4%). When an other member of the household was interviewed, that person was significantly more likely to be female, under 50 years old, from a household with three or more members, and live in the Northeast or Southwest.

(See Tables 19a & 19b)

The median age of these residents is 46.9 years. Residents who have lived in Clark County 6 or more years are significantly older (50.4) than those who have lived in Clark County 5 years or less (38.5). Residents from one or two member households are significantly older (55.7) than those from three or more member households (39.2). This correlates with household composition - respondents in adult only households are significantly older (54.1) than respondents in households with children (38.2). Residents in the Northwest (50.8) and Southeast (48.3) are significantly older than residents in the Northeast (41.5). And car drivers (50) are significantly older than SUV/van/truck drivers (42.9).

(See Tables 20a & 20b)

The median time these residents have lived in Clark County is 9.4 years. Residents who are 50 or older have lived in Clark County significantly longer (12.2 years) than those under 50 (7.9 years).

(See Tables 21a & 21b)

The median number of household members is 2.4. Under 50 year old households are significantly larger (3.1) than 50 and older households (2.0). This correlates with household composition - households with children are significantly larger (3.9) than adult only households (1.9). And households with an SUV/van/truck are significantly larger (2.9) than car households (2.3).

(See Tables 22a & 22b)

Over six in ten (62.4%) of these households do not have children; 16.4% are single person households and 46% are two or more adults with no children. About a fourth (23%) are households with only pre-teens, 6.4% are households with teen-agers only, and 7.4% have both pre-teens and teen-agers. Most of the sub-sample significant differences are what would be expected for the categories. The reader can inspect the tables for these. Noteworthy significant differences are that single person households are more likely to be car drivers while SUV/van/truck drivers more likely live in households with pre-teens and both pre-teens and teen-agers.

(See Tables 23a & 23b)

## G. Comparison by Unaided Awareness

In this section, differences in demographic characteristics are noted between those residents who initially named "Flash Flooding/Flooding as a natural disaster danger in Clark County and those who did not spontaneously mention flooding as a danger. These comparisons look at some of the previous data from a different perspective. All of the differences cited below are statistically significant.

Looking at unprompted awareness of flooding by area of Clark County, proportionately more outlying area residents did not say flooding (10.5% vs. 2.3% outlying residents initially saying flooding).

A greater proportion of those who initially said flooding are men and a greater proportion of those who did not say flooding are women.

A greater proportion of those who initially said flooding have lived in Clark County longer than those who did not say flooding.

A greater proportion of those who did not initially say flooding are single person households while a greater proportion of those who did say flooding are two or more adult household with no children.

(See Tables 24 - 30)

For those readers interested in inspecting unaided awareness by individual Zip Code, this data can be found in Table 25.

# **V. SUPPORTING TABLES**

		GENDI RESPO	ER OF ONDENT	YEARS IN CLA	LIVED RK CO	AGI RESPO	OF NUMBER IN NDENT HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
No, Can't Name Any	62	20	42	32	30	39	23	34	28	
	12.48	8.7	15.5	18.6	9.2	14.0	10.5	12.8	12.2	
Flash Flooding/	395	194	201	124	270	226	166	203	188	
Flooding	79.0≹	84.7	<b>-</b> 74.2	72.1€		81.3	75.8	76.3	81.7	
Earthquakes	191	86	105	65	125	96	94	113	76	
	38.2%	37.6	38.7	37.8	38.2	34.5	42.9	42.5	33.0	
Wind/Dust/Sand	41	23	18	14	27	25	15	18	23	
Storms	8.2%	10.0	6.6	8.1	8.3	9.0	6.8	6.8	10.0	
Fires	38	12	26	13	25	20	17	20	17	
	7.6%	5.2	9.6	7.6	7.6	7.2	7.8	7.5	7.4	
Tornados	25	14	11	10	15	14	11	15	10	
	5.0%	6.1	4.1	5.8	4.6	5.0	5.0	5.6	4.3	
Nuclear Waste/	21	8	13	4	17	9	12	13	8	
Yucca Mountain	4.2%	3.5	4.8	2.3	5,2	3.2	5.5	4.9	3.5	
Lightning	19	8	11	6	13	14	5	7	12	
	3.8%	3.5	4.1	3.5	4.0	5.0	2.3	2.6	5.2	
Drought	8	4	4	2	6	6	2	3	5	
	1.6%	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.8	2.2	0.9	1.1	2.2	
High Temperature/	6	5	1	2	4	4	2	2	4	
Heat	1.2%	2.2	0.4	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.9	0.8	1.7	
Explosions	5	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	1	
	1.0%	1.3	0.7	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.5	0.4	
Hail Storms	3 0.6%	2 0.9	1 0.4	3 1.7	0	2 0.7	1 0.5	2 0.8	1 0.4	
All Other Mentions	20	9	11	4	16	10	10	13	7	
	4.0%	3.9	4.1	2.3	4.9	3.6	4.6	4.9	3.0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	834	388	446	281	551	468	360	447	380	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	166.88	169.4	164.6	163.4	168.5	168.3	164.4	168.0	165.2	
NET RESPONDENTS	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230	

#### UNAIDED AWARENESS: NAME TYPES OF NATURAL DISASTERS THAT CAN BE A DANGER TO RESIDENTS OF CLARK COUNTY

NOTE: For the "Flash Flooding/Flooding" row, arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table la

#### Table 1b

			QUADRA	ANT OF	/ALLEY		HOUS: COMPO	EHOLD SITION	TYP VEH	E OF ICLE
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
No, Can't Name Any	62 12.4%	14 15.1	10 8.5	21 11.4	13 15.1	4 20.0	37 11.8	25 13.7	39 13.2	14 8.6
Flash Flooding/ Flooding	395 79.0%	68 73.1	96 82.1	151 82.1	71 82.6	9 45.0	247 78.7	144 79.1	227 76.9	138 84.7
Earthquakes	191 38.2%	38 40.9	49 41.9	69 37.5	30 34.9	5 25.0	127 40.4	62 34.1	115 39.0	63 38.7
Wind/Dust/Sand Storms	41 8.2%	9 9.7	11 9.4	15 8.2	5 5.8	1 5.0	22 7.0	19 10.4	27 9.2	11 6.7
Fires	38 7.6%	6 6.5	8 6.8	13 7.1	8 9.3	3 15.0	24 7.6	13 7.1	23 7.8	9 5.5
Tornados	25 5.0%	6 6.5	7 6.0	7 3.8	4 4.7	1 5.0	18 5.7	7 3.8	16 5.4	6 3.7
Nuclear Waste/ Yucca Mountain	21 4.2%	3 3.2	4 3.4	7 3.8	3 3.5	4 20.0	15 4.8	6 3.3	13 4.4	7 4.3
Lightning	19 3.8%	6 6.5	2 1.7	6 3.3	5 5.8	0	7 2.2	12 6.6	10 3.4	9 5.5
Drought	8 1.6%	1 1.1	2 1.7	4 2.2	1 1.2	0	4 1.3	4 2.2	4 1.4	3 1.8
High Temperature/ Heat	6 1.2%	2 2.2	2 1.7	2 1.1	0	0	2 0.6	4 2.2	3 1.0	2 1.2
Explosions	5 1.0%	2 2.2	1 0.9	0	1 1.2	1 5.0	4 1.3	1 0.5	3 1.0	2 1.2
Hail Storms	3 0.6%	1 1.1	1 0.9	1 0.5	0	0	3 1.0	0	1 0.3	0
All Other Mentions	20 4.0%	4 4.3	6 5.1	9 4.9	1 1.2	0	15 4.8	5 2.7	8 2.7	9 5.5
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	834 166.8%	160 172.0	199 170.1	305 165.8	142 165.1	28 140.0	525 167.2	302 165.9	489 165.8	273 167.5
NET RESPONDENTS	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163

#### UNAIDED AWARENESS: NAME TYPES OF NATURAL DISASTERS THAT CAN BE A DANGER TO RESIDENTS OF CLARK COUNTY

NOTE: For the "Flash Flooding/Flooding" row, there are no statistically significant differences at the 95% level of confidence. Outlying area sample size is too small to calculate statistical significance.

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Indent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Yes	85	26	59	34	51	40	45	55	30	
	81.0%	74.3	84.3	70.8	← 89.5	76.9	84.9	87 <b>.</b> 3-	→71.4	
No	20	9	11	14	6	12	8	8	12	
	19.0%	25.7	15.7	29.2	10.5	23.1	15.1	12.7	28.6	
TOTAL RESPONSES	105	35	70	48	57	52	53	63	42	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

AIDED AWARENESS: (AMONG THOSE NOT SAYING "FLOODING" IN PREVIOUS QUESTION) AWARE OF DANGERS OF FLASH FLOODING HERE IN CLARK COUNTY

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

Table 2b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE			
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Yes	85	19	17	28	12	9	56	29	55	20	
	81.0%	76.0	81.0	84.8	80.0	81.8	83.6	76.3	80.9	80.0	
No	20	6	4	5	3	2	11	9	13	5	
	19.0%	24.0	19.0	15.2	20.0	18.2	16.4	23.7	19.1	20.0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	105	25	21	33	15	11	67	38	68	25	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

#### AIDED AWARENESS: (AMONG THOSE NOT SAYING "FLOODING" IN PREVIOUS QUESTION) AWARE OF DANGERS OF FLASH FLOODING HERE IN CLARK COUNTY

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

Significance not calculated for samples below 30 respondents.

×.

#### Table 3a

#### TOTAL AWARENESS: UNAIDED AND AIDED AWARENESS OF FLASH FLOODING DANGERS

		GENDI RESPO	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Indent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Aware Of Flash	480	220	260	158	321	266	211	258	218	
Flooding	96.0%	96.1	95.9	91.9	← 98.2	95 <b>.</b> 7	96.3	97.0	94.8	
Not Aware Of Flash	20	9	11	14	6	12	8	8	12	
Flooding	4.0%	3.9	4.1	8.1	1.8	4.3	3.7	3.0	5.2	
TOTAL RESPONDENTS	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 3b

#### TOTAL AWARENESS: UNAIDED AND AIDED AWARENESS OF FLASH FLOODING DANGERS

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Aware Of Flash										
Flooding	480	87	113	179	83	18	303	173	282	158
	96.0%	93.5	96.6	97.3	96.5	90.0	96.5	95.1	95.6	96.9
Not Aware Of Flash										
Flooding	20	6	4	5	3	2	11	9	13	5
2	4.0%	6.5	3.4	2.7	3.5	10.0	3.5	4.9	4.4	3.1
TOTAL RESPONDENTS	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

Significance not calculated for samples below 30 respondents.

#### Table 4a

#### COMPARISON OF AWARENESS 1999 VS. 2000

		GENDI RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CI	LIVED	AGI RESPO	3 OF ONDENT	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FENALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Unaided Awareness										
1999	81.6%	78.3	83.8 个	83.0	81.1	87.5	75.3	76.4	88.7	
2000	79.0%	84.7	74.2	72.1	. 82.6	¥ 81.3	75.8	76.3	81.7	
Aided Awareness										
1999	15.8%	16.8	15.2	13.5 个	16.7	10.5 个	21.4	21.1	9.9	
2000	17.0%	11.4	21.7	19.8	15.6	14.4	20.5	20.7	13.1	
<u>Total Awareness</u>										
1999	97.48	95.1	99.0	96.5	97.8	98.0	96.7	97.5	98.6 I	
2000	96.0%	96.1	95.9	91.9	98.2	95.7	96.3	97.0	94.8	
TOTAL RESPONDENTS				*****		*******		*******	***	
1999	500	203	297	141	359	256	243	288	212	
2000	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230	

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between years which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 4b

#### COMPARISON OF AWARENESS 1999 VS. 2000

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
<u>Unaided Awareness</u> 1999	81.6%	82.1	86.8	81.5	80.0	46.7	*	×	*	*
2000	79.0%	73.1	82.1	82.1	82.6	45.0	78.7	79.1	76.9	84.7
<u>Aided Awareness</u> 1999	15.8%	16.0	10.6	17.0	17.1	33.3	*	*	*	*
2000	17.0%	19.4	14.5	15.2	13.9	45.0	17.8	16.0	18.7	12.2
Total Awareness 1999	97.4%	98.1	97.4	98.5	97.1	80.0	*	*	*	*
2000	96.08	93.5	96.6	97.3	96.5	90.0	96.5	95.1	95.6	96.9
TOTAL RESPONDENTS			114	195			*	 *	*	
2000	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163

\* Not all cross-tabulated categories are shown because specific area sub-samples in 1999 were replaced with household composition and type of vehicle sub-samples in 2000. Thus comparisons could not be made.

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between years which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

Significance not calculated for samples below 30 respondents.

#### Table 5a

#### HOW LEARN ABOUT DANGERS OF FLASH FLOODING IN CLARK COUNTY (UNAIDED - AMONG ALL WHO ARE AWARE OF DANGERS OF FLOODING)

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Ndent	NUMB HOUS	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE		
By Living Here/Saw	246	117	129	59	187	125	118	126	118		
It Happen/Experience	51.3%	53.2	49.6	37.3	58.3	47.0	55.9	48.8	54.1		
TV News	134	53	81	49	84	78	56	73	60		
	27.9%	24.1	31.2	31.0	26.2	29.3	26.5	28.3	27.5		
TV	72	30	42	24	48	38	34	39	32		
	15.0%	13.6	16.2	15.2	15.0	14.3	16.1	15.1	14.7		
Newspaper	45	20	25	10	34	17	28	27	17		
	9.48	9.1	9.6	6.3	10.6	6.4	13.3	10.5	7.8		
Radio	34	18	16	10	23	14	20	22	11		
	7.1%	8.2	6.2	6.3	7.2	5.3	9.5	8.5	5.0		
Family/Parents/	34	15	19	22	12	24	10	17	17		
Friends/Co-Workers	7.1%	6.8	7.3	13.9	3.7	9.0	4.7	6.6	7.8		
News (unspecified)	25	9	16	12	13	18	7	13	12		
	5.2%	4.1	6.2	7.6	4.0	6.8	3.3	5.0	5.5		
Billboard	14	7	7	5	9	11	3	6	8		
	2.9%	3.2	2.7	3.2	2.8	4.1	1.4	2.3	3.7		
Signs/Road Signs	9	8	1	5	4	7	2	5	4		
	1.9%	3.6	0.4	3.2	1.2	2.6	0.9	1.9	1.8		
Media	6	2	4	1	5	5	1	1	5		
	1.3%	0.9	1.5	0.6	1.6	1.9	0.5	0.4	2.3		
Work for City/County	5	4	1	1	4	4	1	3	2		
/Government	1.0%	1.8	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.5	0.5	1.2	0.9		
In School	2 0.4%	2 0.9	0	0	2 0.6	2 0.8	0	0	2 0.9		
All Other Mentions	5	3	2	2	3	4	1	2	3		
	1.0%	1.4	0.8	1.3	0.9	1.5	0.5	0.8	1.4		
TOTAL RESPONSES	631	288	343	200	428	347	281	334	291		
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	131.5%	130.9	131.9	126.6	133.3	130.5	133.2	129.5	133.5		
NET RESPONDENTS	480	220	260	158	321	266	211	258	218		
## Table 5b

## HOW LEARNED ABOUT DANGERS OF FLASH FLOODING IN CLARK COUNTY (UNAIDED - AMONG ALL WHO ARE AWARE OF DANGERS OF FLOODING)

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUS	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
By Living Here/Saw It Happen/Experience	246 51.3%	44 50.6	63 55.8	93 52.0	38 45.8	8 44.4	146 48.2	98 56.6	136 48.2	91 57.6	
TV News	134 27.9%	32 36.8	23 20.4	55 30.7	21 25.3	3 16.7	84 27.7	49 28.3	89 31.6	35 22.2	
TV	72 15.0%	16 18.4	16 14.2	26 14.5	9 10.8	5 27.8	49 16.2	22 12.7	36 12.8	28 17.7	
Newspaper	45 9.4%	6 6.9	14 12.4	16 8.9	5 6.0	4 22.2	34 11.2	10 5.8	29 10.3	12 7.6	
Radio	34 7.1%	7 8.0	7 6.2	14 7.8	4 4.8	2 11.1	25 8.3	8 4.6	20 7.1	11 7.0	
Family/Parents/ Friends/Co-Workers	34 7.1%	3 3.4	5 4.4	11 6.1	12 14.5	3 16.7	23 7.6	11 6.4	21 7.4	11 7.0	
News (unspecified)	25 5.2%	2 2.3	3 2.7	11 6.1	9 10.8	0	14 4.6	11 6.4	13 4.6	10 6.3	
Billboard	14 2.9%	1 1.1	6 5.3	4 2.2	3 3.6	0	7 2.3	7 4.0	6 2.1	7 4.4	
Signs/Road Signs	9 1.9%	0	2 1.8	3 1.7	3 3.6	1 5.6	5 1.7	4 2.3	5 1.8	3 1.9	
Nedia	6 1.3%	0	2 1.8	1 0.6	2 2.4	1 5.6	2 0.7	4 2.3	2 0.7	4 2.5	
Work for City/County /Government	5 1.0%	0	1 0.9	3 1.7	1 1.2	0	4 1.3	1 0.6	1 0.4	3 1.9	
In School	2 0.4%	0	1 0.9	0	1 1.2	0	0	2 1.2	2 0.7	0	
All Other Mentions	5 1.0%	2 2.3	1 0.9	1 0.6	1 1.2	0	3 1.0	2 1.2	3 1.1	2 1.3	
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	631 131.5%	113 129.9	144 127.4	238 133.0	109 131.3	27 150.0	396 130.7	229 132.4	363 128.7	217 137.3	
NET RESPONDENTS	480	- <b></b> 87	113	179	83	18	303	173	282	158	

## HEARD OR READ ABOUT FLOODING DANGERS FROM SPECIFIED SOURCES (AMONG THOSE AWARE OF FLASH FLOODING)

		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AG RESP	e of ondent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Television	469	216	253	151	317	256	211	252	214	
	97.78	98.2	97.3	95.6	98.8	96.24	←100.0	97.7	98.2	
Newspaper	351	166	185	104	246	177	172	188	160	
	73.1%	75.5	71.2	65.8	← 76.6	66.5	← 81.5	72.9	73.4	
Radio	323	150	173	102	220	186	135	168	152	
	67.38	68.2	66.5	64.6	68.5	69.9	→64.0	65.1	69.7	
Billboard	245	121	124	70	175	166	78	112	131	
	51.0%	55.0	-→47.7	44.3	←54.5	62.4	→37.0	43.4	← 60.1	
Friends/Relatives	236	100	136	87	148	142	94	116	119	
Told You About It	49.2%	45.5	←52.3	55.1	→46.1	53.4	→44.5	45.04	←54.6	
Brochure	89	37	52	18	71	48	40	44	44	
	18.5%	16.8	20.0	11.4	←22.1	18.0	19.0	17 <b>.</b> 1	20.2	
Bus Stop Shelter Ad	65 13.5%	38 17.3	27 →10.4	16 10.1	49 ←15.3	<b>4</b> 7 17 <b>.</b> 7	$\xrightarrow{17}_{8.1}$	34 13.2	30 13.8	
Children Told You	62	24	38	19	43	38	24	16	46	
About It	12.9%	10.9	14.6	12.0	13.4	14.3	11.4	6.24	← 21.1	
Magazine	47	20	27	10	37	23	23	21	25	
	9.8%	9.1	10.4	6.3	←11.5	8.6	10.9	8.1	11.5	
TOTAL RESPONSES	1887	872	1015	577	1306	1083	794	951	921	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	393.1%	396.4	390.4	365 <b>.</b> 2	406.9	407.1	376.3	368.6	422.5	
NET RESPONDENTS	480	220	260	158	321	266	211	258	218	

NOTE: For the Total Sample, each percentage is significantly higher (at the 95% level of confidence) than the percentages below it - EXCEPT for the differences between Billbord and Friends/Relatives and the difference between Bus Stop Shelter Ad and Children Told You About It.

Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 6b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Television	469	86	111	175	80	17	296	170	273	156	
	97.7%	98.9	98.2	97.8	96.4	94.4	97.7	98.3	96.8	98.7	
Newspaper	351	57	84	132	62	16	225	123	199	120	
	73.1%	65.5∢	←74.3	73.7	74.7	88.9	74.3	71.1	70.6	75.9	
Radio	323	62	79	122	50	10	199	121	193	104	
	67.3%	71.3	69.9	68.2	60,2	55.6	65.7	69.9	68.4	65.8	
Billboard	245	45	71	81	42	6	134	109	135	93	
	51.0%	51.7	62,8	45.3	50.6	33.3	44.24	( 63.0	47.94	←58.9	
Friends/Relatives	236	42	48	97	40	9	143	92	141	77	
Told You About It	49.2%	48.3	42.54		48.2	50.0	47.2	53.2	50.0	<b>48.7</b>	
Brochure	89	16	25	32	11	5	55	33	48	32	
	18.5%	18.4	22.1	17.9	13.3	27.8	18.2	19.1	17.0	20.3	
Bus Stop Shelter Ad	65	12	18	21	12	2	39	25	35	23	
	13.5%	13.8	15.9	11.7	14.5	11.1	12.9	14.5	12.4	14.6	
Children Told You	62	15	14	24	8	1	25	37	37	16	
About It	12.9%	17.2	12.4	13.4	9.6	5.6	8.34	- 21.4	13.1	10.1	
Magazine	47	9	12	17	6	3	28	18	25	11	
	9.88	10.3	10.6	9.5	7.2	16.7	9.2	10.4	8.9	7.0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	1887	344	462	701	311	69	1144	728	1086	632	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	393.1%	395.4	408.8	391.6	37 <b>4</b> .7	383.3	377.6	420.8	385.1	400.0	
NET RESPONDENTS	480	87	113	179	83	18	303	173	282	158	

#### HEARD OR READ ABOUT FLOODING DANGERS FROM SPECIFIED SOURCES (AMONG THOSE AWARE OF FLASH FLOODING)

NOTE: For the Total Sample, each percentage is significantly higher (at the 95% level of confidence) than the percentages below it - EXCEPT for the differences between Billbord and Friends/Relatives and the difference between Bus Stop Shelter Ad and Children Told You About It.

Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

Outlying area sample size too small (below 30) to calculate statistical significance.

## Table 7a

DOES	RESPONDENT	DRIVE	À	VEHICLE
0000	TURE OUDDINT	DICLAN	<b>X</b> X	A DHY AND

		GENDI RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Indent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Yes	464	216	248	164	299	261	200	245	215	
	92.8%	94.3	91.5	95.3	→91.4	93.9	91.3	92.1	93.5	
No	36	13	23	8	28	17	19	21	15	
	7.2%	5.7	8.5	4.7	8.6	6.1	8.7	7.9	6.5	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

.

#### Table 7b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI COMPOS	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Yes	464 92.8%	80 86.0 1	111 94.9	173 94.0	80 93.0	20 100.0	290 92.4	170 93.4	295 100.0	163 100.0
No	36 7.2%	13 14.0	6 5.1	11 6.0	6 7.0	0	24 7.6	12 6.6	0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0

#### DOES RESPONDENT DRIVE A VEHICLE

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 8a

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEÀRS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Ondent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 yrs	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Passenger Car	295	119	176	104	190	146	146	176	116	
	63.6%	55.1	← 71.0	63.4	63.5	55.94	← 73.0	71.8-	→54.0	
SUV, Van or Truck	163	94	69	59	104	112	51	68	94	
	35.1%	43.5	→27.8	36.0	34.8	42.9	→25.5	27.84	←43.7	
Both	6	3	3	1	5	3	3	1	5	
	1.3%	1.4	1.2	0.6	1.7	1.1	1.5	0.4	2.3	
TOTAL RESPONSES	464	216	248	164	299	261	200	245	215	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

# TYPE OF VEHICLE USUALLY DRIVEN

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 8b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUS) COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Passenger Car	295 63.6%	54 67.5	70 63.1	111 64.2	47 58.7	13 65.0	202 69.7-	90 →52.9	295 100.0	0
SUV, Van or Truck	163 35.1%	26 32.5	38 34.2	60 3 <b>4</b> .7	32 40.0	7 35.0	86 29 <b>.</b> 7	76 ← 44.7	0	163 100.0
Both	6 1.3%	0	3 2.7	2 1.2	1 1.3	0	2 0.7	4 2.4	0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	464 100.0%	80 100.0	111 100.0	173 100.0	80 100.0	20 100.0	290 100.0	170 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0

## TYPE OF VEHICLE USUALLY DRIVEN

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 9a

		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Ndent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 yrs	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Yes	323	156	167	84	238	180	140	171	149	
	69.6%	72.2	67.3	51.2	← 79.6	69.0	70.0	69.8	69.3	
No	141	60	81	80	61	81	60	74	66	
	30.4%	27.8	32.7	48.8	20.4	31.0	30.0	30.2	30.7	
TOTAL RESPONSES	464	216	248	164	299	261	200	245	215	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

## EVER ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET OR ROAD WHILE DRIVING

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 9b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Yes	323	53	82	125	54	9	199	121	197	121
	69.6%	66.3	73.9	72.3	67.5	45.0	68.6	71.2	66.8€	
No	141	27	29	48	26	11	91	49	98	42
	30.4%	33.8	26.1	27.7	32.5	55.0	31.4	28.8	33.2	25.8
TOTAL RESPONSES	464	80	111	173	80	20	290	170	295	163
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

## EVER ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET OR ROAD WHILE DRIVING

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

		GENDI RESPO	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGH RESPO	COF ONDENT	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 yrs	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Once	60	21	39	31	29	34	26	39	21	
	18.6%	13.5	23.4	36.9	12.2	18.9	18.6	22.8	14.1	
Twice	53	25	28	17	35	29	24	30	22	
	16.4%	16.0	16.8	20.2	14.7	16.1	17.1	17.5	14.8	
Three Times	34	18	16	9	25	18	16	18	16	
	10.5%	11.5	9.6	10.7	10.5	10.0	11.4	10.5	10.7	
Four or More Times	176	92	84	27	149	99	74	84	90	
	54.5%	59.0	50.3	32.1	62.6	55.0	52.9	49.1	60.4	
TOTAL RESPONSES	323	156	167	84	238	180	140	171	149	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

## NUMBER OF TIMES ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET

## Table 10b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI COMPOS	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Once	60	15	8	25	9	3	43	17	48	12
	18.6%	28.3	9.8	20.0	16.7	33.3	21.6	14.0	24.4	9.9
Twice	53	10	14	20	7	2	31	21	35	18
	16.4%	18.9	17.1	16.0	13.0	22.2	15.6	17.4	17.8	14.9
Three Times	34 10.5%	5 9.4	5 6.1	13 10.4	11 20.4	0	21 10.6	13 10.7	21 10.7	12 9.9
Four or More Times	176	23	55	67	27	4	104	70	93	79
	54.5%	43.4	67.1	53.6	50.0	44.4	52.3	57.9	47.2	65.3
TOTAL RESPONSES	323	53	82	125	54	9	199	121	197	121
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

## NUMBER OF TIMES ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET

## Table 11a

## SUMMARY OF BEHAVIOR FIRST TIME ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET

		gendi Respo	er of Indent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGE RESPO	e of Ndent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Drove Into/Thru It	132	66	66	31	100	72	59	63	67	
	41.1%	42.3	40.0	36.9	42.4	40.0	42.8	37.3	45.0	
Didn't Drive Into/	186	88	98	52	134	106	78	104	81	
Thru It	57.9%	56.4	59.4	61.9	56.8	58.9	56.5	61.5	54.4	
Don't Remember	3	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	
	0.9%	1.3	0.6	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.7	1.2	0.7	
TOTAL RESPONSES	321	156	165	84	236	180	138	169	149	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 11b

## SUMMARY OF BEHAVIOR FIRST TIME ENCOUNTERED A FLOODED STREET

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Drove Into/Thru It	132	22	31	52	23	4	79	51	72	58	
	41.1%	41.5	38.8	41.6	42.6	44.4	40.1	42.1	36.74	48.3	
Didn't Drive Into/	186	31	47	73	30	5	116	69	123	60	
Thru It	57.9%	58.5	58.7	58.4	55.6	55.6	58.9	57.0	62.8	50.0	
Don't Remember	3 0.9%	0	2 2.5	0	1 1.9	0	2 1.0	1 0.8	1 0.5	2 1.7	
TOTAL RESPONSES	321	53	80	125	54	9	197	121	196	120	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGE RESPO	e of Indent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD	
	TOTAL	Male	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
Turned back/went	169	83	86	51	118	100	67	91	77
different way	52.3%	53.2	51.5	60.7	49.6	55.6	47.9	53.2	51.7
Waited until water	15	5	10	2	13	6	9	12	3
went down	4.6%	3.2	6.0	2.4	5.5	3.3	6.4	7.0	2.0
Drove into/thru	38	22	16	9	29	23	14	18	19
(not specified)	11.8%	14.1	9.6	10.7	12.2	12.8	10.0	10.5	12.8
Drove into - not	23	9	14	4	19	13	10	10	13
that deep/bad	7.1%	5.8	8.4	4.8	8.0	7.2	7.1	5.8	8.7
Drove into - slowly/	19	6	13	4	15	10	9	5	14
carefully	5.9%	3.8	7.8	4.8	6.3	5.6	6.4	2.9	9.4
Drove into - other	11	3	8	2	9	6	5	7	<b>4</b>
cars went thru	3.4%	1.9	4.8	2.4	3.8	3.3	3.6	<b>4.1</b>	2.7
Drove into - no	9	7	2	4	5	5	4	6	3
problem in truck/SUV	2.8%	4.5	1.2	4.8	2.1	2.8	2.9	3.5	2.0
Drove into - scary/	7	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3
barely made it	2.2%	2.6	1.8	3.6	1.7	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.0
Drove into -couldn't	7	3	4	1	5	2	5	4	2
go back/cars behind	2.2%	1.9	2.4	1.2	2.1	1.1	3.6	2.3	1.3
Drove into - I knew	6	6	0	1	5	2	4	4	2
the roads	1.9%	3.8		1.2	2.1	1.1	2.9	2.3	1.3
Drove into - made it	5 1.5%	1 0.6	4 2.4	0	5 2.1	3 1.7	2 1.4	2 1.2	3 2.0
Drove into - could	4	4	0	2	2	2	2	1	3
tell it was safe	1.2%	2.6		2.4	0.8	1.1	1.4	0.6	2.0
Drove into - no problem	3 0.9%	3 1.9	0	0	3 1.3	2 1.1	1 0.7	3 1.8	0
Drove into - stuck	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	1
and rescued	0.9%	0.6	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.6	1.4	1.2	0.7
Drove into - not above tire rim	2 0.6%	0	2 1.2	0	2 0.8	0	2 1.4	1 0.6	1 0.7

## DETAILS OF WHAT HAPPENED THE FIRST TIME ENCOUNTERED A FLOODED STREET

```
(Table Continued)
```

Drove into - not above tire well	2 0.68	2 1.3	0	0	2 0.8	1 0.6	1 0.7	1 0.6	1 0.7
Drove into - gunned it/plowed thru	2 0.6%	2 1.3	0	0	2 0.8	0	2 1.4	1 0.6	1 0.7
Drove into - flooded /sunk car	2 0.6%	0	2 1.2	0	2 0.8	1 0.6	1 0.7	1 0.6	1 0.7
Drove into - with passenger car	1 0.3%	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.4	1 0.6	0	0	1 0.7
Drove into - police said to, got stuck	1 0.3%	0	1 0.6	1 1.2	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.6	0
Drove into - water got into car	1 0.3%	0	1 0.6	1 1.2	0	0	1 0.7	1 0.6	0
Drove into - hit pot holes & blew 2 tires	1 0.3%	1 0.6	0	0	1 0.4	0	1 0.7	1 0.6	0
Drove into - up to window to get godson	1 0.3%	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.4	1 0.6	0	0	1 0.7
Police told me to turn back	1 0.3%	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.4	1 0.6	0	1 0.6	0
Don't remember	4 1.2%	2 1.3	2 1.2	1 1.2	3 1.3	2 1.1	2 1.4	3 1.8	1 0.7
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	337 104.3%	164 105.1	173 103.6	87 103.6	249 104.6	187 103.9	147 105.0	180 105.3	154 103.4
NET RESPONDENTS	323	156	167	84	238	180	140	171	149

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Turned back/went different way	169 52.3%	30 56.6	44 53.7	64 51.2	27 50.0	4 44.4	103 51.8	65 53.7	108 54.8	58 47.9
Waited until water went down	15 4.6%	1 1.9	4 4.9	7 5.6	2 3.7	1 11.1	13 6.5	2 1.7	13 6.6	2 1.7
Drove into/thru (not specified)	38 11.8%	2 3.8	14 17.1	14 11.2	6 11.1	2 22.2	23 11.6	14 11.6	17 8.6	20 16.5
Drove into - not that deep/bad	23 7.1%	4 7.5	5 6.1	10 8.0	3 5.6	1 11.1	14 7.0	9 7.4	15 7.6	8 6.6
Drove into - slowly/ carefully	19 5.9%	6 11.3	3 3.7	6 4.8	4 7.4	0	10 5.0	9 7.4	12 6.1	6 5.0
Drove into - other cars went thru	11 3.4%	2 3.8	1 1.2	3 2.4	5 9.3	0	8 4.0	3 2.5	6 3.0	5 4.1
Drove into - no problem in truck/SUV	9 2.8%	1 1.9	2 2.4	4 3.2	2 3.7	0	7 3.5	2 1.7	1 0.5	8 6.6
Drove into - scary/ barely made it	7 2.28	1 1.9	0	5 4.0	1 1.9	0	4 2.0	3 2.5	6 3.0	1 0.8
Drove into -couldn't go back/cars behind	7 2.28	0	1 1.2	6 4.8	0	0	5 2.5	1 0.8	5 2.5	2 1.7
Drove into - I knew the roads	6 1.9%	1 1.9	2 2.4	2 1.6	1 1.9	0	4 2.0	2 1.7	4 2.0	2 1.7
Drove into - made it	5 1.5%	0	2 2.4	2 1.6	1 1.9	0	1 0.5	4 3.3	3 1.5	2 1.7
Drove into - could tell it was safe	4 1.2%	1 1.9	1 1.2	2 1.6	0	0	1 0.5	3 2.5	2 1.0	2 1.7
Drove into - no problem	3 0.9%	0	1 1.2	1 0.8	1 1.9	0	3 1.5	0	0	3 2.5
Drove into - stuck and rescued	3 0.9%	3 5.7	0	0	0	0	2 1.0	1 0.8	3 1.5	0
Drove into - not above tire rim	2 0.6%	1 1.9	0	0	1 1.9	0	1 0.5	1 0.8	2 1.0	0

## DETAILS OF WHAT HAPPENED THE FIRST TIME ENCOUNTERED A FLOODED STREET

Drove into - not above tire well	2 0.6%	0	0	1 0.8	1 1.9	0	1 0.5	1 0.8	0	2 1.7
Drove into - gunned it/plowed thru	2 0.6%	1 1.9	0	0	0	1 11.1	1 0.5	1 0.8	1 0.5	1 0.8
Drove into - flooded /sunk car	2 0.6%	0	2 2.4	0	0	0	1 0.5	1 0.8	2 1.0	0
Drove into - with passenger car	1 0.3%	0	0	0	1 1.9	0	0	1 0.8	0	1 0.8
Drove into - police said to, got stuck	1 0.3%	0	0	1 0.8	0	0	1 0.5	0	1 0.5	0
Drove into - water got into car	1 0.3%	0	1 1.2	0	0	0	1 0.5	0	1 0.5	0
Drove into - hit pot holes & blew 2 tires	1 0.3%	0	0	1 0.8	0	0	1 0.5	0	0	1 0.8
Drove into - up to window to get godson	1 0.3%	1 1.9	0	0	0	0	0	1 0.8	0	1 0.8
Police told me to turn back	1 0.3१	0	0	1 0.8	0	0	0	1 0.8	1 0.5	0
Don't remember	4 1.2%	0	3 3.7	0	1 1.9	0	3 1.5	1 0.8	2 1.0	2 1.7
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	337 104.3%	55 103.8	86 104.9	130 104.0	57 105.6	9 100.0	208 104.5	126 104.1	205 104.1	127 105.0
NET RESPONDENTS	323	53	82	125	54	9	199	121	197	121

		GENDI RESP	ER OF ONDENT	YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGE RESPO	OF NDENT	NUMBE HOUSE	R IN HOLD
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
Turned back/around	148	75	73	31	116	83	64	76	70
each time	56.3%	55.6	57.0	58.5	55.5	56.8	56.1	57.6	54.7
Waited until water	9	4	5	1	8	5	4	4	5
went down	3.48	3.0	3.9	1.9	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.9
Sometimes drove into	41	21	20	7	34	23	18	16	25
sometimes went back	15.6%	15.6	15.6	13.2	16.3	15.8	15.8	12.1	19.5
Drove into/thru	23	15	8	4	19	15	7	10	12
(not specified)	8.7%	11.1	6.3	7.5	9.1	10.3	6.1	7.6	9.4
Drove into - slowly/	10	3	7	1	9	4	6	7	3
carefully	3.8%	2.2	5.5	1.9	4.3	2.7	5.3	5.3	2.3
Drove into - not	10	4	6	3	7	3	7	7	3
that bad/deep	3.8%	3.0	4.7	5.7	3.3	2.1	6.1	5.3	2.3
Drove into - secure	8	6	2	1	7	6	2	4	4
with truck/SUV	3.0%	4.4	1.6	1.9	3.3	4.1	1.8	3.0	3.1
Drove into - knew	4	4	0	1	3	2	2	2	2
the roads	1.5%	3.0		1.9	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.5	1.6
Drove into - stuck/ damaged car	4 1.5%	0	4 3.1	0	4 1.9	2 1.4	1 0.9	3 2.3	1 0.8
Drove into - stuck & rescued	2 0.8%	1 0.7	1 0.8	2 3.8	0	2 1.4	0	0	2 1.6
Into when young, now don't risk it	2 0.88	2 1.5	0	0	2 1.0	1 0.7	1 0.9	2 1.5	0
Drove into -couldn't go back, cars behind	1 0.4%	1 0.7	0	0	1 0.5	0	1 0.9	1 0.8	0
Don't go out when it's flooding	1 0.4%	1 0.7	0	1 1.9	0	1 0.7	0	0	1 0.8
Don't remember	4 1.5%	0	4 3.1	1 1.9	3 1.4	0	4 3.5	3 2.3	1 0.8

#### DETAILS OF WHAT HAPPENED DURING ADDITIONAL STREET FLOODING ENCOUNTERS

*****	******			*					
TOTAL RESPONSES	267	137	130	53	213	147	117	135	129
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	101.5%	101.5	101.6	100.0	101.9	100.7	102.6	102.3	100.8
NET RESPONDENTS	263	135	128	53	209	146	114	132	128

#### Table 13b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Turned back/around each time	148 56.3%	24 63.2	41 55.4	58 58.0	21 46.7	4 66.7	87 55.8	59 56.7	89 59.7	57 52.3
Waited until water went down	9 3.4%	3 7.9	0	3 3.0	3 6.7	0	5 3.2	4 3.8	6 4.0	3 2.8
Sometimes drove into sometimes went back	41 15.6%	2 5.3	11 14.9	18 18.0	10 22.2	0	22 14.1	19 18.3	23 15.4	17 15.6
Drove into/thru (not specified)	23 8.7%	2 5.3	7 9.5	8 8.0	5 11.1	1 16.7	12 7.7	10 9.6	10 6.7	12 11.0
Drove into - slowly/ carefully	10 3.8%	4 10.5	3 4.1	3 3.0	0	0	8 5.1	2 1.9	8 5.4	1 0.9
Drove into - not that bad/deep	10 3.8%	0	4 5.4	4 4.0	2 4.4	0	8 5.1	2 1.9	6 4.0	4 3.7
Drove into - secure with truck/SUV	8 3.0%	2 5.3	3 4.1	1 1.0	2 4.4	0	6 3.8	2 1.9	0	8 7.3
Drove into - knew the roads	4 1.5%	0	2 2.7	1 1.0	1 2.2	0	3 1.9	1 1.0	1 0.7	3 2.8
Drove into - stuck/ damaged car	4 1.5%	2 5.3	0	2 2.0	0	0	2 1.3	2 1.9	3 2.0	1 0.9
Drove into - stuck & rescued	2 0.8%	0	0	1 1.0	1 2.2	0	0	2 1.9	1 0.7	1 0.9
Into when young, now don't risk it	2 0.8%	0	0	1 1.0	0	1 16.7	2 1.3	0	0	2 1.8
Drove into -couldn't go back, cars behind	1 0.4%	0	0	0	1 2.2	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.7	0
Don't go out when it's flooding	1 0.4%	0	0	1 1.0	0	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.7	0
Don't remember	4 1.5%	0	4 5.4	0	0	0	3 1.9	1 1.0	3 2.0	1 0.9

## DETAILS OF WHAT HAPPENED DURING ADDITIONAL STREET FLOODING ENCOUNTERS

TOTAL RESPONSES	267	39	75	101	46	6	160	104	152	110
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	101.5%	102.6	101.4	101.0	102.2	100.0	102.6	100.0	102.0	100.9
**********************	*******									
NET RESPONDENTS	263	38	74	100	45	6	156	104	149	109

## Table 14a

## SUMMARY OF BEHAVIOR EACH TIME ENCOUNTERED A FLOODED STREET

		GENDI RESPO	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CLA	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of NDENT	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Went back/waited	119	62	57	23	96	65	53	63	55	
all times	45.6%	45.6	45.6	44.2	46.2	44.2	47.7	48.1	43.3	
Sometimes drove thru sometimes went back	48	25	23	8	40	27	21	22	26	
	18.4%	18.4	18.4	15.4	19.2	18.4	18.9	16.8	20.5	
Drove into/thru all	43	25	18	8	35	23	19	21	21	
times	16.5%	18.4	14.4	15.4	16.8	15.6	17.1	16.0	16.5	
Drove into 1st time/	37	17	20	10	26	23	14	15	21	
back other times	14.2%	12.5	16.0	19.2	12.5	15.6	12.6	11.5	16.5	
Went back 1st time/	14	7	7	3	11	9	4	10	4 3.1	
into other times	5.4%	5.1	5.6	5.8	5.3	6.1	3.6	7.6		
TOTAL RESPONSES	261	136	125	52	208	147	111	131	127	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

#### Table 14b

## SUMMARY OF BEHAVIOR EACH TIME ENCOUNTERED & FLOODED STREET

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Went back/waited all times	119	20	33	44	19	3	72	46	73	44	
	45.6%	52.6	46.5	44.0	42.2	42.9	46.5	44.7	<b>49.</b> 7	40.4	
Sometimes drove thru sometimes went back	48 18.4%	3 7.9 <b>2</b>	13 18.3	20 20.0	11 24.4	1 14.3	28 18.1	20 19.4	26 17.7	21 19.3	
Drove into/thru all times	43	6	14	14	8	1	28	14	18	23	
	16.5%	15.8	19.7	14.0	17.8	14.3	18.1	13.6	12.2 <del>4</del>	21.1	
Drove into 1st time/	37	6	8	17	5	1	19	17	21	16	
back other times	14.2%	15.8	11.3	17.0	11.1	14.3	12.3	16.5	14.3	14.7	
Went back 1st time/	14	3	3	5	2	1	8	6	9	5	
into other times	5.4%	7.9	4.2	5.0	4.4	14.3	5.2	5.8	6.1	4.6	
TOTAL RESPONSES	261	38	71	100	45	7 100.0	155	103	147	109	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## WHAT MOTIVATES PEOPLE TO DRIVE INTO FLOODED AREAS

		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Ondent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
Stupid/Moron/	167	84	83	53	114	85	81	84	81
Ignorant	33.4%	36.7	30.6	30.8	34.9	30.6	37.0	31.6	35.2
In a hurry	98	41	57	33	65	50	48	53	45
	19.6%	17.9	21.0	19.2	19.9	18.0	21.9	19.9	19.6
Think they can make	79	31	48	29	50	56	22	37	41
it/do anything	15.8%	13.5	17.7	16.9	15.3	20.1	10.0	13.9	17.8
To get where they're going/home/work	77	36	41	28	48	47	30	40	36
	15.4%	15.7	15 <b>.</b> 1	16.3	14.7	16.9	13.7	15.0	15.7
Not aware of the	39	21	18	7	32	15	24	22	17
danger	7.8%	9.2	6.6	4.1	9.8	5.4	11.0	8.3	7.4
Don't know/realize	27	12	15	12	15	11	16	18	9
how deep it is	5.4%	5.2	5.5	7.0	4.6	4.0	7.3	6.8	3.9
Doesn't look/don't	22	6	16	9	13	16	6	12	10
think it's deep	4.4%	2.6	5.9	5.2	4.0	5.8	2.7	4.5	4.3
Impatience	20	12	8	7	13	14	6	9	11
	4.0%	5.2	3.0	4.1	4.0	5.0	2.7	3.4	4.8
No experience with floods and danger	16	5	11	3	13	11	5	8	8
	3.2%	2.2	4.1	1.7	4.0	4.0	2.3	3.0	3.5
Don't want to take	15	4	11	4	11	11	4	5	10
the time to go back	3.0%	1.7	4.1	2.3	3.4	4.0	1.8	1.9	4.3
Get a thrill from it	8	2	6	1	7	5	3	2	6
	1.6%	0.9	2.2	0.6	2.1	1.8	1.4	0.8	2.6
Don't realize water	7	4	3	5	2	5	2	4	3
is strong/powerful	1.4%	1.7	1.1	2.9	0.6	1.8	0.9	1.5	1.3
No other way around/	7	4	3	1	6	4	3	5	2
Don't know detours	1.4%	1.7	1.1	0.6	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.9	0.9
It's worth the risk	7	3	4	4	2	4	3	3	3
	1.4%	1.3	1.5	2.3	0.6	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.3
Think they can make	4	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	2
it with truck/SUV	0.8%	1.7		1.2	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9

See others do it,	4	2	2	1	3	2	1	2	2
try themselves	0.8%	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.9
A challenge not	4	3	1	1	3	3	1	2	2
knowing	0.8%	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.5	0.8	0.9
Don't realize car	5	2	3	3	2	5	0	2	3
will float	1.0%	0.9	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.8		0.8	1.3
Caught in middle,	3	2	1	1	2	0	3	2	1
had to go thru	0.6%	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.6		1.4	0.8	0.4
Arrogance	3	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1
	0.6%	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.4
An emergency/going to help someone	2 0.4%	0	2 0.7	0	2 0.6	1 0.4	1 0.5	0	2 0.9
Could be drunk/on drugs	2 0.4%	1 0.4	1 0.4	2 1.2	0	2 0.7	0	1 0.4	1 0.4
Just got caught in it	1 0.2%	0	1 0.4	1 0.6	0	0	1 0.5	1 0.4	0
Desperation	1 0.2%	1 0.4	0	1 0.6	0	1 0.4	0	1 0.4	0
Don't know/No idea/	29	11	18	11	18	15	14	18	11
Can't imagine	5.8%	4.8	6.6	6.4	5.5	5.4	6 <b>.4</b>	6.8	4.8
TOTAL RESPONSES	647	293	354	220	425	367	277	335	307
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	129.4%	127.9	130.6	127.9	130.0	132.0	126.5	125.9	133.5
NET RESPONDENTS	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230

## WHAT MOTIVATES PEOPLE TO DRIVE INTO FLOODED AREAS

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Stupid/Moron/	167	29	46	59	28	5	98	67	105	49
Ignorant	33.4%	31.2	39.3	32.1	32.6	25.0	31.2	36.8	35.6	30.1
In a hurry	98 19.6%	19 20.4	27 23.1	42 22.8	10 11.6	0	60 19.1	38 20.9	52 17.6	39 23.9
Think they can make it/do anything	79	14	26	23	14	2	46	32	38	33
	15.8%	15.1	22.2	12.5	16.3	10.0	14.6	17.6	12.9	20.2
To get where they're going/home/work	77	15	16	29	13	4	50	26	46	22
	15.4%	16.1	13.7	15.8	15.1	20.0	15.9	14.3	15.6	13.5
Not aware of the	39	9	11	13	5	1	26	13	24	15
danger	7.8%	9.7	9.4	7.1	5.8	5.0	8.3	7.1	8.1	9.2
Don't know/realize	27	3	3	15	5	1	21	6	18	7
how deep it is	5.4%	3.2	2.6	8.2	5.8	5.0	6.7	3.3	6.1	4.3
Doesn't look/don't	22	6	1	8	5	2	14	8	11	8
think it's deep	4.4%	6.5	0.9	4.3	5.8	10.0	4.5	4.4	3.7	4.9
Impatience	20	3	4	6	4	3	13	7	10	9
	4.0%	3.2	3.4	3.3	4.7	15.0	4.1	3.8	3.4	5.5
No experience with floods and danger	16 3.2%	1 1.1	2 1.7	11 6.0	2 2.3	0	8 2.5	8 4.4	9 3.1	4 2.5
Don't want to take	15	1	3	8	2	1	6	9	8	6
the time to go back	3.0%	1.1	2.6	4.3	2.3	5.0	1.9	4.9	2.7	3.7
Get a thrill from it	8 1.6%	3 3.2	0	4 2.2	1 1.2	0	4 1.3	4 2.2	6 2.0	2 1.2
Don't realize water is strong/powerful	7 1.4%	0	3 2.6	3 1.6	1 1.2	0	4 1.3	3 1.6	1 0.3	6 3.7
No other way around/	7	1	1	4	1	0	5	2	5	2
Don't know detours	1.4%	1.1	0.9	2.2	1.2		1.6	1.1	1.7	1.2
It's worth the risk	7 1.4%	2 2.2	0	3 1.6	1 1.2	1 5.0	5 1.6	1 0.5	4 1.4	2 1.2
Think they can make	4	1	1	0	1	1	2	2	1	3
it with truck/SUV	0.88	1.1	0.9		1.2	5.0	0.6	1.1	0.3	1.8

See others do it, try themselves	4 0.8%	1 1.1	1 0.9	2 1.1	0	0	3 1.0	1 0.5	2 0.7	2 1.2
A challenge not knowing	4 0.8%	2 2.2	0	1 0.5	1 1.2	0	3 1.0	1 0.5	2 0.7	1 0.6
Don't realize car will float	5 1.0%	3 3.2	1 0.9	0	0	1 5.0	2 0.6	3 1.6	4 1.4	1 0.6
Caught in middle, had to go thru	3 0.6%	0	0	0	3 3.5	0	3 1.0	0	2 0.7	1 0.6
Arrogance	3 0.6%	0	1 0.9	1 0.5	1 1.2	0	2 0.6	1 0.5	1 0.3	2 1.2
An emergency/going to help someone	2 0.4%	0	0	1 0.5	1 1.2	0	1 0.3	1 0.5	0	1 0.6
Could be drunk/on drugs	2 0.4%	1 1.1	0	1 0.5	0	0	1 0.3	1 0.5	1 0.3	1 0.6
Just got caught in it	1 0.2%	1 1.1	0	0	0	0	1 0.3	0	1 0.3	0
Desperation	1 0.2%	0	1 0.9	0	0	0	1 0.3	0	1 0.3	0
Don't know/No idea/ Can't imagine	29 5.8%	9 9.7	5 4.3	11 6.0	1 1.2	3 15.0	20 6.4	9 4.9	21 7.1	4 2.5
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	647 129.4%	124 133.3	153 130.8	245 133.2	100 116.3	25 125.0	399 127.1	243 133.5	373 126.4	220 135.0
NET RESPONDENTS	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163

#### Table 16a

## SHOULD PEOPLE WHO DRIVE AROUND POSTED FLOOD BARRICADES AND THEN NEED TO BE RESCUED HAVE TO REIMBURSE THE COUNTY FOR THE COST OF THE RESCUE

		GENDI RESPO	GENDER OF RESPONDENT		LIVED ARK CO	AGI RESPO	e of Indent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 yrs	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Yes	438	196	242	156	281	240	197	235	200	
	87.6%	85.6	89.3	90.7	85.9	86.3	90.0	88.3	87.0	
No	61	32	29	16	45	37	22	30	30	
	12.2%	14.0	10.7	9.3	13.8	13.3	10.0	11.3	13.0	
Don't Know	1 0.2%	1 0.4	0	0	1 0.3	1 0.4	0	1 0.4	0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

## Table 16b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Yes	438	80	110	152	77	19	275	160	254	147	
	87.6%	86.0	94.0	82.6	89.5	95.0	87.6	87.9	86.1	90.2	
No	61	13	7	31	9	1	38	22	40	16	
	12.2%	14.0	6.0	16.8	10.5	5.0	12.1	12.1	13.6	9.8	
Don't Know	1 0.2%	0	0	1 0.5	0	0	1 0.3	0	1 0.3	0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

#### SHOULD PEOPLE WHO DRIVE AROUND POSTED FLOOD BARRICADES AND THEN NEED TO BE RESCUED HAVE TO REIMBURSE THE COUNTY FOR THE COST OF THE RESCUE

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

## Table 17a

## (AMONG THOSE WHO THINK COUNTY SHOULDN'T BE REIMBURSED) WHY SHOULDN'T THEY HAVE TO PAY

		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGE RESPC	i of Ndent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 Or More	
That's what taxes are for	17 27.9%	8 25.0	9 31.0	5 31.3	12 26.7	10 27.0	7 31.8	10 33.3	7 23.3	
People make mistakes /just an accident	12 19.7%	3 9.4	9 31.0	3 18.8	9 20.0	9 24.3	2 9.1	7 23.3	5 16.7	
Depends on situation /circumstances	6 9.88	6 18.8	0	2 12.5	4 8.9	4 10.8	2 9.1	4 13.3	2 6.7	
Because they don't know the dangers	4 6.68	3 9.4	1 3.4	0	4 8.9	1 2.7	3 13.6	1 3.3	3 10.0	
Rescue should be an aid	3 4.9%	2 6.3	1 3.4	0	3 6.7	2 5.4	1 4.5	1 3.3	2 6.7	
County responsible for not flooding	3 4.9%	2 6.3	1 3.4	1 6.3	2 4.4	3 8.1	0	0	3 10.0	
Recuers are already paid	3 4.9%	2 6.3	1 3.4	0	3 6.7	2 5.4	1 4.5	1 3.3	2 6.7	
Not their fault that it floods	3 4.98	0	3 10.3	1 6.3	2 4.4	3 8.1	0	1 3.3	2 6.7	
No need to punish them	3 4.9%	3 9.4	0	2 12.5	1 2.2	1 2.7	2 9.1	1 3.3	2 6.7	
Even if barricade, County should pay	2 3.3%	2 6.3	0	0	2 4.4	1 2.7	1 4.5	1 3.3	1 3.3	
Important thing is to be rescued	2 3.3%	0	2 6.9	1 6.3	1 2.2	1 2.7	1 4.5	0	2 6.7	
Signs not visible when raining	2 3.38	1 3.1	1 3.4	0	2 4.4	2 5.4	0	2 6.7	0	
They wouldn't pay anyway	2 3.3%	2 6.3	0	0	2 4.4	1 2.7	1 4.5	2 6.7	0	
City should have put drains in	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	0	1 2.2	0	1 4.5	0	1 3.3	
Save them, don't let them drown	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	0	1 2.2	0	1 4.5	1 3.3	0	

Don't have the money to pay	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	0	1 2.2	0	1 4.5	0	1 3.3
Just need to educate people more	1 1.6%	1 3.1	0	1 6.3	0	1 2.7	0	0	1 3.3
Can't control stupidity	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	0	1 2.2	0	0	0	0
They could be new in town	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	1 6.3	0	1 2.7	0	1 3.3	0
May not speak/read English	1 1.6%	0	1 3.4	1 6.3	0	1 2.7	0	1 3.3	0
Their insurance should pay for it	1 1.6%	1 3.1	0	0	1 2.2	0	1 4.5	0	1 3.3
They're already up- set with car damage	1 1.6%	1 3.1	0	0	1 2.2	0	1 4.5	0	1 3.3
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	71 116.4%	37 115.6	34 117.2	18 112.5	53 117.8	43 116.2	26 118.2	34 113.3	36 120.0
NET RESPONDENTS	61	32	29	16	45	37	22	30	30

#### Table 17b

## (AMONG THOSE WHO THINK COUNTY SHOULDN'T BE REIMBURSED) WHY SHOULDN'T THEY HAVE TO PAY

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUS COMPO	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
That's what taxes are for	17 27.9%	4 30.8	2 28.6	8 25.8	3 33.3	0	12 31.6	5 22.7	12 30.0	4 25.0
People make mistakes /just an accident	12 19.7%	2 15.4	1 14.3	6 19.4	2 22.2	1 100.0	7 18.4	5 22.7	9 22.5	3 18.8
Depends on situation /circumstances	6 9.88	3 23.1	0	2 6.5	1 11.1	0	4 10.5	2 9.1	5 12.5	0
Because they don't know the dangers	4 6.68	0	0	4 12.9	0	0	3 7.9	1 4.5	2 5.0	2 12.5
Rescue should be an aid	3 4.98	0	0	3 9.7	0	0	2 5.3	1 4.5	2 5.0	1 6.3
County responsible for not flooding	3 4.9%	1 7.7	0	1 3.2	1 11.1	0	1 2.6	2 9.1	2 5.0	1 6.3
Recuers are already paid	3 4.9%	0	0	3 9.7	0	0	1 2.6	2 9.1	2 5.0	1 6.3
Not their fault that it floods	3 4.98	2 15.4	0	0	1 11.1	0	1 2.6	2 9.1	3 7.5	0
No need to punish them	3 4.98	1 7.7	1 14.3	0	1 11.1	0	2 5.3	1 4.5	1 2.5	0
Even if barricade, County should pay	2 3.3%	0	1 14.3	1 3.2	0	0	2 5.3	0	1 2.5	0
Important thing is to be rescued	2 3.38	0	0	2 6.5	0	0	0	2 9.1	1 2.5	1 6.3
Signs not visible when raining	2 3.3%	0	0	2 6.5	0	0	2 5.3	0	2 5.0	0
They wouldn't pay anyway	2 3.38	1 7.7	0	0	1 11.1	0	2 5.3	0	1 2.5	1 6.3
City should have put drains in	1 1.6%	0	1 14.3	0	0	0	0	1 4.5	1 2.5	0
Save them, don't let them drown	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	1 2.6	0	1 2.5	0

Don't have the money to pay	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	1 2.6	0	0	1 6.3
Just need to educate people more	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	0	1 4.5	0	1 6.3
Can't control stupidity	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	0	0	1 2.5	0
They could be new in town	1 1.6%	0	1 14.3	0	0	0	0	1 4.5	1 2.5	0
May not speak/read English	1 1.6%	0	1 14.3	0	0	0	0	1 4.5	1 2.5	0
Their insurance should pay for it	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	1 2.6	0	0	1 6.3
They're already up- set with car damage	1 1.6%	0	0	1 3.2	0	0	1 2.6	0	0	1 6.3
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	71 116.4%	14 107.7	8 114.3	38 122.6	10 111.1	1 100.0	43 113.2	27 122.7	48 120.0	18 112.5
NET RESPONDENTS	61	13	7	31	9	1	38	22	40	16

## Table 18a

## RESPONDENT GENDER

		GENDI RESP	GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS LIVED IN CLARK CO			e of Ondent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS & LES	5. 6 55 8	5 YRS. MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE	
Male	229 45.8%	229 100.0	0	47 -	2 7	146 44.6	132 47.5	97 44.3	116 43.6	111 48.3	
Female	271 54.2%	0	271 100.0	52.	0 3	181 55.4	146 52.5	122 55.7	150 56.4	119 51.7	
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	229 100.0	271 100.0	17 100	2	327 100.0	278 100.0	219 100.0	266 100.0	230 100.0	

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

RESPONDENT	GENDER
------------	--------

		QUADRANT OF VALLEY					HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION		TYPE OF VEHICLE	
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Male	229 45.8%	37 39.8 1	47 40.2 ↑	96 52.2	37 43.0	12 60.0	145 46.2	82 45.1	119 40.3	94 
Female	271 54.2%	56 60,2	70 59,8	88 47.8	49 57.0	8 40.0	169 53.8	100 54.9	176 59.7-	69 →42.3
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		YEARS IN CL	LIVED ARK CO	AGE OF RESPONDENT		NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
Head of Household	417	213	204	141	275	224	190	233	180
	83.4%	93.0	→75.3	82.0	84.1	80.6	← 86.8	87.6	→78.3
Other Household	83	16	67	31	52	54	29	33	50
Member	16.6%	7.0	←24.7	18.0	15.9	19.4		12.4	←21.7
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	229	271	172	327	278	219	266	230
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

RESPONDENT IS MALE OR FENALE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR OTHER HOUSEHOLD NEMBER

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.
## Table 19b

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY	HOUSI COMPOS	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
Head of Household	417 83.4%	71 76.3 1	103 88.0	163 88.6	64 74.4	16 80.0	265 84.4	148 81.3	245 83.1	140 85.9
Other Household Member	83 16.6%	22 23.7	14 12.0	21 11.4	22 25.6	4 20.0	49 15.6	34 18.7	50 16.9	23 14.1
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0

#### RESPONDENT IS MALE OR FEMALE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## AGE OF RESPONDENT

					GEND RESP	er of Ondent		YEARS IN CL	L AR	IVED K CO	AGE OF RESPONDENT		NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD	
				TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 &	YRS. LESS	6 &	YRS. MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
(19)	18	to	20	19 3.8%	10 4.4	9 3.3	-	9 5.2		10 3.1	19 6.8	0	4 1.5	15 6.5
(25)	21	to	29	63 12.6%	29 12.7	34 12.5		33 19.2		30 9.2	63 22.7	0	24 9.0	39 17.0
(35)	30	to	39	100 20.0%	48 21.0	52 19.2		52 30.2		48 14.7	100 36.0	0	33 12.4	66 28.7
(45)	40	to	49	96 19.2%	45 19.7	51 18.8		25 14.5		71 21.7	96 34.5	0	39 14.7	57 24.8
(55)	50	to	59	96 19.2%	50 21.8	46 17.0		27 15.7		68 20.8	0	96 43.8	57 21.4	38 16.5
(62)	60	to	64	30 6.0%	13 5.7	17 6.3		7 4.1		23 7.0	0	30 13.7	28 10.5	2 0.9
(70)	65	or	Older	93 18.6%	34 14.8	59 21.8		19 11.0		74 22.6	0	93 42.5	80 30.1	13 5.7
Refus	ed			3 0.6%	0	3 1.1		0		3 0.9	0	0	1 0.4	0
TOTAL BASE=	RE: NET	SPOI RE	NSES SPONDENTS	500 100.0%	229 100.0	271 100.0	]	172 100.0	1	327 .00.0	278 100.0	219 100.0	266 100.0	230 100.0
MEDIA T-Val	N ue			46.93	46.11	47.65 1.32	3	38.46 -	5.	64 64	35.70 -3	61.65 8.89	55.70 1	39.24 0.00
								<u>^</u>					L	<u></u> ^

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

### AGE OF RESPONDENT

				<u>^_</u>	<u> </u>	J			L	<u></u>	L	î
MEDIA T-Val	N Ue		46.93	41.50	50.83 3.37	48.28 1.42	45.88 0.59	43.33 0.52	54.13 1	38.20 0.05	50.00	42.91 3.25
TOTAL BASE=	RES	Ponses Respondents	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0
Refus	ed		3 0.6%	1 1.1	1 0.9	1 0.5	0	0	1 0.3	0	3 1.0	0
(70)	65	or Older	93 18.6%	14 15.1	28 23.9	32 17.4	17 19.8	2 10.0	87 27.7	6 3.3	67 22.7	12 7.4
(62)	60	to 64	30 6.0%	5 5.4	8 6.8	12 6.5	3 3.5	2 10.0	29 9.2	1 0.5	18 6.1	10 6.1
(55)	50	to 59	96 19.2%	10 10.8	24 20.5	42 22.8	16 18.6	4 20.0	69 22.0	26 14.3	61 20.7	29 17.8
(45)	40	to 49	96 19.2%	20 21.5	24 20.5	32 17.4	17 19.8	3 15.0	49 15.6	47 25.8	48 16.3	43 26.4
(35)	30	to 39	100 20.0%	17 18.3	19 16.2	41 22.3	17 19.8	6 30.0	38 12.1	61 33.5	47 15.9	49 30.1
(25)	21	to 29	63 12.6%	18 19.4	11 9.4	19 10.3	14 16.3	1 5.0	33 10.5	30 16.5	41 13.9	16 9.8
(19)	18	to 20	19 3.8%	8 8.6	2 1.7	5 2.7	2 2.3	2 10.0	8 2.5	11 6.0	10 3.4	4 2.5
			TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK
					QUADR	ANT OF	VALLEY	HOUSI COMPO:	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		

# NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## YEARS LIVED IN CLARK COUNTY

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS LIVED IN CLARK CO		AGI RESPO	e of Ondent	NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS & LES	. 6 S &	YRS. MORE	UNDER 50 yrs	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
(1) 2 Years or Less	s 97 19.4%	41 17.9	56 20.7	9 56.	 7 4	0	70 25.2	27 12.3	48 18.0	48 20.9
(4) 3 to 5 Years	75 15.0%	41 17.9	34 12.5	7 43.	5 6	0	49 17.6	26 11.9	36 13.5	39 17.0
(8) 6 to 10 Years	91 18.2%	45 19.7	46 17.0		0	91 27.8	42 15.1	49 22.4	52 19.5	39 17.0
(13) 11 to 15 Years	43 8.6%	22 9.6	21 7.7		0	43 13.1	22 7.9	21 9.6	24 9.0	19 8.3
(18) 16 to 20 Years	50 10.0%	16 7.0	34 12.5		0	50 15.3	27 9.7	23 10.5	29 10.9	21 9.1
(25) 21 to 30 Years	70 14.0%	31 13.5	39 14.4	ł	0	70 21.4	37 13.3	32 14.6	35 13.2	34 14.8
(35) 31 or More Years	73 14.6%	32 14.0	41 15.1	i	0	73 22.3	31 11.2	40 18.3	42 15.8	30 13.0
Refused	1 0.2%	1 0.4	0		) 	0	0	1 0.5	0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	229 100.0	271 100.0	17 100.	2	327 100.0	278 100.0	219 100.0	266 100.0	230 100.0
MEDIAN T-Value	9.41	8.84	9.96 -0.87	1.3	) : -30	18.45 .69	7.90 	12.17 3.31	9.77	8.87 0.97

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

### YEARS LIVED IN CLARK COUNTY

			*****	QUADR	ANT OF	VALLEY		HOUS COMPO	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
		TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
(1)	2 Years or Less	97 19.4%	18 19.4	21 17.9	29 15.8	26 30.2	3 15.0	58 18.5	38 20.9	64 21.7	28 17.2	
(4)	3 to 5 Years	75 15.0≹	15 16.1	15 12.8	28 15.2	8 9.3	9 45.0	41 13.1	34 18.7	40 13.6	31 19.0	
(8)	6 to 10 Years	91 18.2%	15 16.1	24 20.5	38 20.7	13 15.1	1 5.0	58 18.5	33 18.1	60 20.3	24 14.7	
(13)	11 to 15 Years	43 8.6%	4 4.3	6 5.1	23 12.5	8 9.3	2 10.0	31 9.9	12 6.6	26 8.8	13 8.0	
(18)	16 to 20 Years	50 10.0%	14 15.1	7 6.0	17 9.2	11 12.8	1 5.0	34 10.8	16 8.8	28 9.5	16 9.8	
(25)	21 to 30 Years	70 14.0%	13 14.0	20 17.1	24 13.0	12 14.0	1 5.0	46 14.6	23 12.6	38 12.9	22 13.5	
(35)	31 or More Years	73 14.6%	14 15.1	24 20.5	24 13.0	8 9.3	3 15.0	46 14.6	26 14.3	38 12.9	29 17.8	
Refu	sed	1 0.2%	0	0	1 0.5	0	0	0	0	1 0.3	0	
TOTAL BASE	L RESPONSES =NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0	
MEDI. T-Va	AN lue	9.41	9.60 -	9.75 0.81	9.63 1.34	8.77 1.01	4.83 0.38	10.50	8.30 1.10	8.87	9.75 1.29	

# NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

Table .	22a
---------	-----

## NUMBER OF PEOPLE LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

		GEND RESP	er of Ondent	YEARS IN CL	LIVED AGE OF ARK CO RESPONDENT		NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 YRS. & LESS	6 YRS. & MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 OR MORE
(1)	82 16.4%	35 15.3	47 17.3	28 16.3	54 16.5	26 9.4	56 25.6	82 30.8	0
(2)	184 36.8%	81 35.4	103 38.0	56 32.6	128 39.1	74 26.6	109 49.8	184 69.2	0
(3)	102 20.4%	52 22.7	50 18.5	36 20.9	66 20.2	68 24.5	34 15.5	0	102 44.3
(4)	74 14.8%	37 16.2	37 13.7	35 20.3	39 11.9	64 23.0	10 4.6	0	74 32.2
(5)	28 5.6%	13 5.7	15 5.5	7 4.1	21 6.4	24 8.6	4 1.8	0	28 12.2
(7) 6 or More	26 5.2%	9 3.9	17 6.3	9 5.2	17 5.2	21 7.6	5 2.3	0	26 11.3
Refused	4 0.8%	2 0.9	2 0.7	1 0.6	2 0.6	1 0.4	1 0.5	0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	229 100.0	271 100.0	172 100.0	327 100.0	278 100.0	219 100.0	266 100.0	230 100.0
MEDIAN T-Value	2.40	2.47	2.35 0.01	2.54	2.35 0.79	3.07	1.99 9.05	1.78 -2	3.68 6.54
						L	T`		

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

### Table 22b

## NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD

			QUADRA	NT OF	ALLEY		HOUSI	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
(1)	82 16.4%	12 12.9	18 15.4	28 15,2	21 24.4	3 15.0	82 26.1	0	56 19.0	19 11.7	
(2)	184 36.8%	27 29.0	50 42.7	74 40.2	25 29.1	8 40.0	180 57.3	4 2.2	120 40.7	49 30.1	
(3)	102 20.4%	25 26.9	20 17.1	38 20.7	14 16.3	5 25.0	38 12.1	64 35.2	64 21.7	30 18.4	
(4)	74 14.8%	16 17.2	16 13.7	24 13.0	18 20.9	0	11 3.5	63 34.6	30 10.2	38 23.3	
(5)	28 5.6%	5 5.4	5 4.3	10 5.4	4 4.7	4 20.0	3 1.0	25 13.7	13 4.4	11 6.7	
(7) 6 or More	26 5.2%	8 8.6	6 5.1	8 4.3	4 4.7	0	0	26 14.3	9 3.1	15 9.2	
Refused	4 0.8%	0	2 1.7	2 1.1	0	0	0	0	3 1.0	1 0.6	
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	93 100.0	117 100.0	184 100.0	86 100.0	20 100.0	314 100.0	182 100.0	295 100.0	163 100.0	
MEDIAN T-Value	2.40	2.80	2.29 1.81 -	2.35 0.10 -	2.38 0.03	2.38 0.03	1.92 -2	3.87 0.18	2.25 -	2.93 4.54	
							1	J	1	L	

## NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

### HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

*****************		GEND RESP	GENDER OF YEARS LIVE RESPONDENT IN CLARK CO		IVED K CO	AGE OF RESPONDENT		NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	5 &	YRS. LESS	6 &	YRS. MORE	UNDER 50 YRS	50 & OLDER	1 OR 2	3 Or More
Single person household	82 16.4%	35 15.3	47 17.3		28 16.3		54 16.5	26 9.4	56 25.6	82 30.8	0
Two or more adults, no children	230 46.0%	110 48.0	120 44.3		70 40.7	←	160 48.9	101 36.3	128 ← 58.4	179 67.3	51 22.2
Households with only pre-teens	115 23.0%	50 21.8	65 24.0		54 31.4	<b>→</b>	61 18.7	99 35.6	16 →7.3	5 1.9	110 47.8
Household with only teen-agers	32 6.4%	13 5.7	19 7.0		7 4.1	4	25 - 7.6	22 7.9	10 4.6	0	32 13.9
Households with both pre-teens & teens	37 7.4%	19 8.3	18 6.6		12 7.0		25 7.6	29 10.4	8 →3.7	0	37 16.1
Refused	4 0.8%	2 0.9	2 0.7		1 0.6		2 0.6	1 0.4	1 0.5	0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	500 100.0%	229 100.0	271 100.0	1	172 00.0	1	327	278 100.0	219 100.0	266 100.0	230 100.0

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

			QUADRA	NT OF V	ALLEY		HOUS COMPO	EHOLD SITION	TYPE OF VEHICLE		
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	OUT- LYING	ADULTS ONLY	CHILD- REN IN HOME	CAR	SUV/ VAN/ TRUCK	
Single person	82	12	18	28	21	3	82	0	56	19	
household	16.4%	12.9	15.4	15.2	24.4	15.0	26.1		19.0		
Two or more adults, no children	230 46.0%	37 39.8 1	56 47.9	94 51,1	33 38.4	10 50.0	230 73.2	0	144 48.8	67 41.1	
Households with only pre-teens	115	27	27	39	21	1	2	113	58	44	
	23.0%	29.0	23.1	21.2	24.4	5.0	0.6	62.1	19.7	←27.0	
Household with only	32	8	7	9	6	2	0	32	19	12	
teen-agers	6.4%	8.6	6.0	4.9	7.0	10.0		17.6	6.4	7.4	
Households with both	37	9	7	12	5	4	0	37	15	20	
pre-teens & teens	7.4%	9.7	6.0	6.5	5.8	20.0		20.3	5.1 (	←12.3	
Refused	4 0.8%	0	2 1.7	2 1.1	0	0	0	0	3 1.0	1 0.6	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	93	117	184	86	20	314	182	295	163	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statisitcally significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS QUADRANT OF VALLEY

		NATO DISA:	URAL STERS?
	TOTAL	SAID FLOODS	DIDN'T SAY FLOODS
North East Valley	93	68	25
	18.6%	17.2	23.8
North West Valley	117	96	21
	23.4%	24.3	20.0
South East Valley	184	151	33
	36.8%	38.2	31.4
South West Valley	86	71	15
	17.2%	18.0	14.3
Outlying Areas	20	9	11
	4.0%	2.3	←10.5
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS ZIP CODE OF RESPONDENT

		NATURAL DISASTERS?				
	TOTAL	SAID FLOODS	DIDN'T SAY FLOODS			
89005	6	3	3			
	1.2%	0.8	2.9			
89012	2 0.48	2 0.5	0			
89014	23	20	3			
	4.6%	5.1	2.9			
89015	45	40	5			
	9.0%	10.1	4.8			
89024	1 0.2%	0	1 1.0			
89027	5	1	4			
	1.0%	0.3	3.8			
89029	5	2	3			
	1.0%	0.5	2.9			
89030	15	8	7			
	3.0%	2.0	6.7			
89031	18	15	3			
	3.6%	3.8	2.9			
89032	10	8	2			
	2.0%	2.0	1.9			
89040	2 0.4%	2 0.5	0			
89046	1 0.2%	1 0.3	0			
89052	2	1	1			
	0.48	0.3	1.0			
89101	9	6	3			
	1.8%	1.5	2.9			
89102	14	10	4			
	2.8%	2.5	3.8			

Continued...

## (Table Continued)

89103	30	27	3
	6.0%	6.8	2.9
89104	16	11	5
	3.2%	2.8	4.8
89106	11	8	3
	2.2%	2.0	2.9
89107	14	13	1
	2.8%	3.3	1.0
89108	24	22	2
	4.8%	5.6	1.9
89109	9	7	2
	1.8%	1.8	1.9
89110	21	17	4
	4.2%	4.3	3.8
89113	4 0.8%	4 1.0	0
89115	19	14	5
	3.8%	3.5	4.8
89117	14	10	4
	2.8%	2.5	3.8
89118	4	3	1
	0.88	0.8	1.0
89119	11	8	3
	2.2%	2.0	2.9
89120	9	6	3
	1.8%	1.5	2.9
89121	33	27	6
	6.6%	6.8	5.7
89122	14	12	2
	2.8%	3.0	1.9
89123	10	9	1
	2.0%	2.3	1.0
89128	9	6	3
	1.8%	1.5	2.9
89129	9	7	2
	1.8%	1.8	1.9

Continued...

## (Table Continued)

TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0
89156	8	7	1
	1.6%	1.8	1.0
89149	2	1	1
	0.4%	0.3	1.0
89147	14	13	1
	2.8%	3.3	1.0
89146	5	3	2
	1.0%	0.8	1.9
89145	10	6	4
	2.0%	1.5	3.8
89144	5 1.0%	5 1.3	0
89142	10	8	2
	2.0%	2.0	1.9
89135	1 0.2%	1 0.3	0
89134	11	9	2
	2.2%	2.3	1.9
89131	8 1.6%	8 2.0	0
89130	7	4	3
	1.4%	1.0	2.9

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS RESPONDENT GENDER

		NATURAL DISASTERS?		
	TOTAL	SAID FLOODS	DIDN'T SAY FLOODS	
Male	229	194	35	
	45.8%	49.1	→33.3	
Female	271	201	70	
	54.2%	50.94	← 66.7	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS AGE OF RESPONDENT

					NATI DISA:	URAL STERS?
						DIDN'T
					SAID	SAY
				TOTAL	FLOODS	FLOODS
(19)	18	to	20	19	11	8
				3.8%	2.8	7.6
(25)	21	to	29	63	51	12
				12.6%	12.9	11.4
(35)	30	to	39	100	85	15
				20.0%	21.5	14.3
(45)	40	to	49	96	79	17
				19.2%	20.0	16.2
(55)	50	to	59	96	75	21
				19.2%	19.0	20.0
(62)	60	to	64	30	25	5
				6.0%	6.3	4.8
(70)	65	or	Older	93	66	27
				18.6%	16.7	25.7
Refus	ed			3	3	0
				0.6%	0.8	
TOTAL	RES	SPO	NSES	500	395	105
BASE=	NET	RE	SPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0
MEDIA T-Val	N Nue			46.93	46.20	50.24 -1.00

# NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS YEARS LIVED IN CLARK COUNTY

		NATI DISA:	URAL STERS?
	TOTAL	SAID FLOODS	DIDN'T SAY FLOODS
(1) 2 Years or Less	97	70	27
	19.4%	17.7	25.7
(4) 3 to 5 Years	75	54	21
	15.0%	13.7	20.0
(8) 6 to 10 Years	91	71	20
	18.2%	18.0	19.0
(13) 11 to 15 Years	43	39	4
	8.6%	9,9	3.8
(18) 16 to 20 Years	50	41	9
	10.0%	10.4	8.6
(25) 21 to 30 Years	70	58	12
	14.0%	14.7	11.4
(35) 31 or More	73	61	12
Years	14.6%	15.4	11.4
Refused	1 0.2%	1 0.3	0
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0
MEDIAN T-Value	9.41	10.76	6.90 2.34 T

NOTE: Arrow indicates a difference between sub-samples which was found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS NUMBER OF PEOPLE LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

		NAT DISA	URAL STERS?
	TOTAL	SAID FLOODS	DIDN'T SAY FLOODS
(1)	82	54	28
	16.4%	13.7	26.7
(2)	184	149	35
	36.8%	37.7	33.3
(3)	102	84	18
	20.4%	21.3	17.1
(4)	74	63	11
	14.8%	15.9	10.5
(5)	28	21	7
	5.6%	5.3	6.7
(7) 6 or More	26	20	6
	5.2%	5.1	5.7
Refused	4 0.88	4 1.0	0
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0
MEDIAN T-Value	2.40	2.45	2.20 1.26

NOTE: There are no statistically significant differences between sub-samples at the 95% level of confidence.

## COMPARISON BY UNAIDED AWARENESS HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

		NATURAL DISASTERS?		
	mom11	SAID	DIDN'T SAY	
		r LOODS	10002	
Single person	82	54	28	
household	16.4%	13.7	← 26.7	
Two or more adults,	230	191	39	
no children	46.0%	48.4	→37.1	
Households with only pre-teens	115	91	24	
	23.0%	23.0	22.9	
Household with only	32	26	6	
teen-agers	6.4%	6.6	5.7	
Households with both	37	29	8	
pre-teens & teens	7.4%	7.3	7.6	
Refused	4 0.8%	4 1.0	0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	500	395	105	
BASE=NET RESPONDENTS	100.0%	100.0	100.0	

NOTE: Arrows indicate differences between sub-samples which were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

VI. APPENDIX



THE SOURCE 9/25/00

CLARK COUNTY RESIDENTS SURVEY

00M-4

			REP-PAGE
R FROM CALL LIS	Τ		
and I'm calling of the male or female and the male or female and the male or female and the male and th	on behalf of ( ale head of th	Clark County Governmer e household.	ntal Services.
LE) Are you 18 yea ailable who's 18 or o	ars or older ar lder and a per	nd a permanent resident of rmanent resident of the h (IF "NO" -> TE	of the nousehold? RMINATE)
AD OF HOUSEHOLD	2 OTH	ER HOUSEHOLD MEMBE	E <b>R</b> (1)
y among Clark Coun T ASKS HOW LONG F	ty residents a r will TAKE	nd would like to ask you – SAY 4 TO 5 MINUTES)	a few
IDENT GENDER: r if you can't )	1 MALE	2 FEMALE	(2)
your Zip Code. Is i	t (READ NUM	IBER FROM CALL LIST)	?
CK HERE AND ENT CHECK HERE ANI	TER NUMBE DENTER CO	R BELOW RRECT NUMBER BEL	() ow()
<u>   8   9                              </u>			(3) (4) (5)
ved in Clark County	? Yea	rs.	(6) (7)
pes of natural disaste	rs that can be	a danger to residents of	Clark
		Anything else?	(8)
		Anything else?	(9)
<u></u>		Anything else?	(10)
	R FROM CALL LIS and I'm calling of ther the male or fema LE) Are you 18 yea ailable who's 18 or o AD OF HOUSEHOLD y among Clark Coun IT ASKS HOW LONG F IDENT GENDER: R IF YOU CAN'T ) / your Zip Code. Is if CK HERE AND ENTI CHECK HERE AND ived in Clark County pes of natural disaste	R FROM CALL LIST and I'm calling on behalf of ( ther the male or female head of th LE) Are you 18 years or older and a per allable who's 18 or older and a per AD OF HOUSEHOLD 2 OTHI y among Clark County residents a IT ASKS HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE IDENT GENDER: 1 MALE R IF YOU CAN'T ) / your Zip Code. Is it (READ NUM CK HERE AND ENTER NUMBE CHECK HERE AND ENTER CO  ived in Clark County? Yea pes of natural disasters that can be	R FROM CALL LIST

(IF FLOODING/FLASH FLOODING MENTIONED ABOVE --> SKIP TO Q.3) (12)

## (IF THEY SAID "FLOODING/FLASH FLOODING" IN PREVIOUS QUESTION, GO TO Q.3)

2. Are you aware of the dangers of flash flooding here in Clark County?

1 YES 2 NO --> (SKIP TO Q. 5) (13)

3. How did you learn about the dangers of flash flooding in Clark County? (IF THEY SAY "NEWS," ASK WHAT TYPE OF NEWS - TV, RADIO, NEWSPAPER?)

(15)

(14)

(16)

(17)

4. From the list I am going to read, please tell me - with a Yes or No - whether you heard or read about flash flood dangers from that source. (READ LIST)

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	
BROCHURE	1	0	(18)
BUS STOP SHELTER AD	2	0	(19)
BILLBOARD	3	0	(20)
TELEVISION	4	0	(21)
RADIO	5	0	(22)
NEWSPAPER	6	0	(23)
MAGAZINE	7	0	(24)
CHILDREN TOLD YOU ABOUT IT	8	0	(25)
FRIENDS/RELATIVES TOLD YOU ABOUT IT	9	0	(26)

5. Do you drive a vehicle?

1 YES 2 NO -> (SKIP TO Q. 8) (27)  $\downarrow$ 5a. Is the vehicle you usually drive a ... 1 REGULAR PASSENGER CAR or (28)

2 AN SUV, VAN or TRUCK

6. Have you ever encountered a flooded street or road while driving?

7. The FIRST TIME you came to a flooded street, what did you do? Did you attempt to drive through it, did you turn back, or did you do something else? Please briefly describe what happened.

(31)

(32)

(32)

(33)

## 7a. (IF ANSWER TO Q. 6a ABOVE IS MORE THAN "1" HAVE RESPONDENT BRIEFLY DESCRIBE EACH TIME AND RECORD BELOW. OTHERWISE, GO TO NEXT QUESTION)

(34)

(35)

(36)

8. Some people attempt to drive through flooded areas. What do you think motivates them to do so?

(37)

(38)

(39)

(40)

9. If a person drives around a posted County flood barricade and then needs to be rescued, do you think that person should have to reimburse the County for the costs of the rescue?



9a. Why shouldn't they have to pay?

(43)

(44)

(45)

10. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

1	2	3	4	5	6 OR MORE	(46	<b></b> )
(IF J	UST "1"	>	SKIP TO	Q.	12)	(47	Ŋ

11.	11. Other than yourself, which of the following age groups are represented in your household? (READ LIST)						
		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>				
	ONE OR MORE ADULTS 18 YEARS OR OLDER	1	2	(48)			
	ONE OR MORE CHILDREN LESS THAN 13 YEARS OLD	1	2	(49)			
	ONE OR MORE CHILDREN BETWEEN 13 TO 17 YEARS OLD	1	2	(50)			
				(51)			
12.	One final question. Is your age (READ LIST)						
	1 18 TO 20 5 50 TO 59			(52)			
	2 21 TO 29 6 60 TO 64						
	3 30 TO 39 7 65 OR OLDER			(53)			
	4 40 TO 49			(54)			
Tha	nk you so much for your time. Good-bye.			(55)			
DAT	E: TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED:		AM or	PM			

# I AFFIRM THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS ACCURATELY RECORDED FROM THE RESPONDENT'S STATEMENTS.

INTERVIEWER'S SIGNATURE